

What we 'know' may not be so, when it comes to the uninsured and ERs

October 21 2008

The 47 million Americans who lack health insurance are the reason emergency departments are crowded all the time – right? And only the uninsured visit the emergency department for minor complaints, because it's easier than going to a doctor – right?

Not so fast, according to a new study published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* by a University of Michigan team. In fact, the reality of what causes ED overcrowding is a lot more complicated, they find. And some widely repeated perceptions about the uninsured and emergency care may be rooted more in assumptions than in solid fact.

Those faulty perceptions, they conclude, may be getting in the way of real efforts to solve both the uninsurance crisis and the crisis in American EDs.

In truth, the uninsured do not make up a disproportionate share of ED patients, because they are the only group that faces the full cost of care, the study shows. It also demonstrates that people who have insurance are more likely to contribute to ED overcrowding and to use the ED for minor complaints or in place of a primary care doctor's visit, because primary care offices are also overcrowded.

The study is based on an exhaustive review of 127 medical research papers, and on detective work to find out whether often-repeated statements about the uninsured and emergency care were actually based

in fact. Most of the papers were published in the last decade, when both the plight of the uninsured and the state of the nation's EDs captured the national spotlight.

Although it challenges some of the most-repeated mantras about the uninsured and ED care, the study does confirm that solid evidence exists for many of the things that Americans have come to believe about the uninsured and emergency care.

For instance, the study shows, the number of people without insurance visiting American EDs is rising — but less quickly than the numbers of uninsured are rising. Meanwhile, patients with insurance are going to the ED more frequently.

There is also solid evidence that caring for patients — insured and uninsured — in an ED is more expensive than treating the same complaint in a doctor's office. Uninsured people definitely have a hard time finding primary care doctors who will see them as outpatients, but even insured patients have difficulty finding primary care.

"What we found is that there is a perception that — because one of the roles of the emergency room is a safety net for the uninsured — it is the uninsured who must be causing all the problems in ED care," says first author and emergency physician Manya Newton, M.D., MPH, M.S., a Robert Wood Johnson Clinical Scholar at the U-M Medical School.

"The crisis in emergency medicine and the problems of the growing uninsured population have been conflated," she adds. "While there's excellent research out there on both issues, the myths about how the uninsured use the emergency department threaten to interfere with the policy-making process. The rise in ED use has much more to do with the aging of the population, the increase in chronic diseases, and the decrease in available primary care than with the uninsured. Policies

based on false assumptions risk diverting energy and money from confronting the true drivers of emergency department crowding."

Newton holds positions in internal medicine and emergency medicine at the U-M Medical School, and at the School of Public Health. The study was funded by the RWJ Clinical Scholars Program.

At the least, Newton and her co-authors conclude from their review, ED policy solutions will need to address the lack of timely access to primary care by the uninsured and insured alike.

The uninsured have a nearly impossible task in finding primary care. But both insured and uninsured have trouble getting appointments in less than two to three weeks, or finding primary care after regular business hours and on weekends — which leads them to the always-open ED. A reluctance by some physicians to take on the legal liability of counseling a patient over the phone, instead of instructing them to go to the ED, may also contribute, Newton says.

Meanwhile, Newton says the evidence is very strong that the overall cause of ED overcrowding is an "input-throughput-output" problem at American hospitals.

Patients come to the ED for treatment, and under federal law the ED cannot turn them away. Some of them need at least an overnight stay in one of the hospital beds upstairs from the ED. But those beds are often full because of a lack of safe and appropriate places to discharge current patients to — so patients get backed up down in the ED, making it more crowded. The closure of hospitals, EDs, and long-term skilled nursing facilities around the country makes the situation worse and worse, the researchers say. Fewer beds plus more patients equals an ED crisis.

Newton and her colleagues embarked on the study after noting a curious

phenomenon in the medical literature: many papers whose introductory passages included phrases like "It is well understood that..." and other statements of conventional wisdom about the uninsured and EDs. They often appeared without direct citations of studies that could support such statements.

The researchers set out to find out what those statements, and other assumptions about this issue, were based on. They winnowed an initial pool of 586 papers down to the 127 that most directly pertained to the issue, after excluding papers that looked at children and the elderly (two groups with much different insurance coverage issues than those between the ages of 18 and 65), and papers that looked at emergency psychiatric or dental care (two types of care for which insurance coverage varies greatly even among the insured). They also excluded papers about non-patient care issues.

The resulting 127 papers received a thorough examination to tally just what they had found and what they were based on, and what types of assumptions about the uninsured they perpetuated – or substantiated. One surprising finding, Newton says, is that an often-repeated statement about urban EDs being overwhelmed with uninsured patients appeared to largely stem from a paper by a plastic surgeon who saw three emergency patients in nine months – two of whom had no insurance – and concluded that two-thirds of all patients in urban EDs are uninsured.

In all, the authors found six commonly repeated assumptions about the uninsured and ERs, which appeared in numerous papers. A number of less common assumptions were also found. But after they had tried to track down the sources of those assumptions, only three of the most common one held any water: the rise in the number of ED visits by uninsured (and insured) people, the higher expense of caring for an uninsured (or insured) person in the ED, and the lack of primary care for uninsured people.

Source: University of Michigan

Citation: What we 'know' may not be so, when it comes to the uninsured and ERs (2008, October 21) retrieved 19 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2008-10-uninsured-ers.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.