

Drug industry advocates join chorus to split FDA

March 22 2009, By MATTHEW PERRONE, AP Business Writer

(AP) -- As momentum builds to rework the nation's food-safety system after a salmonella outbreak linked to peanuts, the drug industry is hoping for a happy side effect: faster approvals for new medicines.

Drug industry advocates are quietly allying with some of their longtime critics pushing to split the Food and <u>Drug</u> Administration into two agencies, one for <u>food safety</u> and one for medical products.

President Barack Obama bolstered hopes for a breakup last Saturday when he named two public health specialists to the agency's top positions and appointed an advisory group to reassess the nation's decades-old food safety laws.

Drug executives see a chance to speed up <u>drug approvals</u> that have lagged amid a drought of new products, provided their regulator is no longer distracted by high-profile food-safety breakdowns.

"Every CEO that I know in health care is in favor of this, but none that value their share prices will go on the record for fear of retribution from the FDA," said Steve Brozak, president of WBB Securities, an investment brokerage focused on drug and biotech companies.

While FDA's food and drug staffs are separate, Brozak and others believe the public lashings over food outbreaks have made senior officials even more risk-averse on drug approvals. Even before the recent food safety problems, FDA was under pressure from Congress for



failing to catch problems with drugs like Merck's Vioxx, which was pulled from the market in 2004.

"The history of FDA is that the commissioner focuses on medical products and only turns to food safety when a crisis comes up," said Professor Michael Taylor, a former FDA and U.S. <u>Department of Agriculture</u> official now at George Washington University.

This year, the agency will spend just 73 cents on food safety for every dollar spent on drugs, according to the Institute of Medicine.

Recent outbreaks connected with spinach, lettuce, peppers and tainted milk from China have created a drumbeat for change.

Margaret Hamburg, a former New York City Health Commissioner, has been tapped to address these issues as Obama's pick for FDA commissioner. Her deputy will be Joshua Sharfstein, a pediatrician and critic of the safety of children's cold medicines.

One former FDA official said Obama's appointment of two safety experts suggests he favors splitting the agency.

"Peggy Hamburg is a safety and security expert, and it seems pretty clear she would become administrator of the food agency," said Peter Pitts of the Center for Medicine in the Public Interest, an industry-funded advocacy group. "Josh Sharfstein would then slide over" to head the drug agency.

The FDA's associate commissioner for food, Dr. David Acheson, would only say, "The agency welcomes all discussions about ways to make our food supply even safer."

The drug industry's lobbying group has not taken a position on a new



drug agency. But the group's president says the status quo is unacceptable.

"One of our premier scientific agencies that's responsible for all of our health and safety is still living in the 19th century in many ways, and we shouldn't tolerate that," said Billy Tauzin, head of the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America and a former congressman from Louisiana.

The distraction created by food crises is wreaking havoc on the drug industry and its investors, making it harder to predict which drugs the agency will approve, Brozak and others say.

"That makes for a completely untenable position for people trying to make decisions in the health care capital markets," said Brozak, who ran for Congress as a Democrat in 2004.

Last year the FDA missed review deadlines on more than 12 drugs, or more than 20 percent of those received, analysts estimate. The agency's internal goal is to miss no more than 10 percent. FDA officials have blamed one-time problems, including an influx of new staffers.

Experts say there's no reason the agency that assures the safety of complex, \$3,000-a-month biotech drugs is also tasked with regulating \$3 jars of peanut butter.

The Government Accountability Office endorsed a single food agency in 1999, and lawmakers have been trying unsuccessfully to realize it ever since.

Splitting FDA would likely mean reshuffling committees that oversee food and drug regulation, which could diminish clout and contributions for some lawmakers.



"Once you get an idea like this on Capitol Hill, it creates winners and losers in power and dollars, and when that happens, it usually results in a stalemate," said Patrick Ronan, a former FDA staffer and founder of GreenLeaf Health consultants.

Sen. Dick Durbin, D-Ill., and Rep. Rosa DeLauro, D-Conn., have narrowed their proposals in order to gain support.

DeLauro previously aimed to consolidate food responsibilities, including the USDA's, into one agency, which proved to be politically tricky. Her current bill would carve a separate agency out of FDA with additional powers, including ordering recalls, which are now voluntary, and increasing food inspections.

The Congresswoman said she welcomes Obama's formation of a food safety task force, but showed no sign of backing away from her proposal. The task force must not be "merely a cosmetic bureaucratic endeavor," she said in a statement.

"The working group must produce definitive recommendations that result in the modernization of our food safety regulatory structure."

Durbin's bill similarly would expand FDA powers and would add \$775 million to its budget to bolster food safety. Currently, the FDA's \$1.9 billion federal budget is supplemented by more than \$300 million in application fees paid by drugmakers to fund speedy reviews.

©2009 The Associated Press. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

Citation: Drug industry advocates join chorus to split FDA (2009, March 22) retrieved 9 April



2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2009-03-drug-industry-advocates-chorus-fda.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.