

Resolving conflicts over end-of-life care: Mayo experts offer tips

November 27 2012

It's one of the toughest questions patients and their loved ones can discuss with physicians: When is further medical treatment futile? The conversation can become even more difficult if patients or their families disagree with health care providers' recommendations on end-of-life care.

Early, clear communication between patients and their care teams, choosing objective surrogates to represent patients and involving third parties such as ethics committees can help avoid or resolve conflicts, Mayo Clinic experts Christopher Burkle, M.D., J.D., and Jeffre Benson, M.D., write in the November issue of Mayo Clinic Proceedings.

"Health care professionals in the United States have struggled with the importance of maintaining <u>patient autonomy</u> while attempting to practice under the guidance of treatments based on beneficial care," Dr. Burkle, the study's lead author, says.

Tips from Drs. Burkle and Benson to effectively discuss end-of-life care include:

• Clear communication: Early and clear communication between health-care providers and patients or their surrogates is the best way to avoid disagreement over whether medical care should continue. Recent studies show that more than 95 percent of such disputes are resolved through mediated meetings involving



physicians and patients/surrogates.

- Choose objective surrogates if patients cannot represent themselves: The surrogate's role is to stand in the shoes of the patient and suppress his or her own judgment in favor of what the patient would have done. However, it is important to acknowledge that medical surrogates often struggle to balance their wishes for the patient with the patient's own wishes. Studies have found that not only do many surrogates fail to accurately predict a patient's treatment wishes, but when asked to resolve disputes, they are more likely to show bias by overestimating the patient's desire for continued treatment.
- Involve third parties when necessary: When health care providers and patients or their advocates cannot agree on end-of-life care, involving a third party becomes necessary. Beginning in 1992, the Joint Commission, the largest hospital accreditation organization in the United States, required hospitals to establish procedures for considering ethical issues. Hospital-based ethics committees have been the most common response to this requirement.

"End-of-life care will continue to be an ongoing discussion within the medical community; however, it is important that <u>medical care</u> providers and patients/medical surrogates continue to dialogue," Dr. Burkle says. "Only then can experts continue to offer insight into the effectiveness of systems used in countries that have moved to a more patient-centrist approach to end-of-life care treatment choices."

Provided by Mayo Clinic

Citation: Resolving conflicts over end-of-life care: Mayo experts offer tips (2012, November 27) retrieved 24 May 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2012-11-conflicts-end-of-life-mayo-experts.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.