

Cancer screening unlikely to benefit patients with a short life expectancy

January 8 2013

Breast and colorectal cancer screening should be targeted towards patients with a life expectancy greater than 10 years: for any shorter life expectancy the harms are likely to outweigh the benefits, concludes a study published in *BMJ* today.

The authors [stress](#) that their results "should not be used to deny screening for [patients](#) with limited life expectancy" but "should inform [decision making](#) which aims to account for patient preferences and values while maximising benefits and minimising risks."

Guidelines recommend screening healthy older patients because complications from screening can harm patients immediately while the benefits of screening are not seen for many years.

What still remains unclear, however, is how long a patient needs to live to benefit from [cancer screening](#). Previous trials have focused on the size of benefit rather than when those benefits occur.

Researchers from the University of California in San Francisco therefore analysed the results of five breast and four colorectal cancer screening trials focusing on patients aged over 50.

Their goal was to estimate the time-lag to benefit (the time between screening and when the benefits of screening are seen) to determine whether an individual patient is likely to benefit from screening.

The trials were published between 1986 and 2008 and ranged in size from just under 40,000 people to just over 150,000 people. Follow-up ranged from 8-20 years.

Results showed that at five years, an average 2.8 colorectal cancer deaths were prevented for every 10,000 people screened. This benefit steadily increased with longer follow-up, reaching 23 colorectal cancer deaths prevented for every 10,000 people screened at 15 years.

In absolute terms, it took an average of 4.8 years to prevent one colorectal cancer death for 5,000 people screened and 10.3 years to prevent one death for 1,000 people screened.

For breast cancer, at five years an average of 5.1 deaths were prevented for every 10,000 women screened. By 15 years, this mortality benefit had increased to 19 breast cancer deaths prevented for every 10,000 women screened.

In absolute terms, it took an average of three years to prevent one breast [cancer death](#) for 5,000 women screened and 10.7 years to prevent one death for 1,000 women screened.

However, the researchers say that in both colorectal and breast cancer, approximately one in ten people screened will have a false positive result and many more will be subject to possibly unnecessary treatment.

Based on these results, they suggest that patients with a life expectancy greater than ten years "should be encouraged to undergo colorectal and [breast cancer](#) screening" but patients whose [life expectancy](#) is 3-5 years "probably should be discouraged from screening since the potential risks likely outweigh the very small probability of benefit."

They conclude that incorporating time lag estimates into screening

guidelines "would encourage a more explicit consideration of the risks and benefits of breast and [colorectal cancer](#) screening, likely resulting in a more individualised decision making process for the heterogeneous population of older adults."

More information: Time-lag to benefit after screening for breast and colorectal cancer: meta-analysis of survival data from the United States, Sweden, United Kingdom and Denmark, *BMJ*, 2013.

Provided by British Medical Journal

Citation: Cancer screening unlikely to benefit patients with a short life expectancy (2013, January 8) retrieved 6 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2013-01-cancer-screening-benefit-patients-short.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.