

Heads or tails? Random fluctuations in brain cell activity may determine toss-up decisions

December 5 2013, by Michael C. Purdy



Humans make many decisions every day, such as whether to have pizza or salad for lunch. An emerging field known as neuroeconomics is combining economic theory with brain research to understand how these decisions are made. This week, scientists report new insights into decisions in which two options are equally appealing. Credit: Robert J. Boston

(Medical Xpress)—Life presents us with choices all the time: salad or pizza for lunch? Tea or coffee afterward? How we make these everyday decisions has been a topic of great interest to economists, who have devised theories about how we assign values to our options and use those values to make decisions.

An emerging field of study known as neuroeconomics is combining the economists' insights with scientific study of the brain to learn more about decision-making processes and how they can go awry. In the Dec. 8 issue of *Neuron*, one of the field's founders reports new links between brain cell activity and choices where two options have equal appeal.

"Neuroeconomics is not only helpful for the development of better economic theory, it is also relevant from a clinical point of view," said author Camillo Padoa-Schioppa, PhD, assistant professor of neurobiology, economics and of biomedical engineering at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis. "There are a number of conditions that involve impaired economic decision-making, including drug addiction, brain injury, some forms of dementia, schizophrenia and obsessive-compulsive disorder."

Scientists know that the [orbitofrontal cortex](#), a region of the brain behind and above the eyes, plays a key role in making decisions. Patients with injuries to this part of the brain are often spectacularly bad at making decisions. They may do things like abandon longstanding relationships, gamble away money or lose it to swindlers, or become addicted to drugs.

To study the roles [brain cells](#) play in decision-making, Padoa-Schioppa developed a system for presenting primates a choice between two drinks, such as [grape juice](#) or apple juice. The type and amount of the drink varies, and researchers record the activity of individual brain neurons as the primates choose.

Based on the decisions of a single animal over multiple trials, scientists infer the subjective value the animal assigns to each drink and then look for ways this value is encoded in brain cells.

"For example, if we offer a larger amount of apple juice versus a smaller amount of grape juice, and the primate chooses each option equally often, we infer that this primate likes the grape juice better than the apple juice," he explained. "The primate could be getting more juice by choosing the cup with apple juice, but it doesn't always do so. That implies that the primate values grape juice more than [apple juice](#)."

In 2006, Padoa-Schioppa and Harvard colleague John Assad, PhD, won international attention for using this system to identify brain cells whose firing rates encoded the subjective value of drink choices.

In a new analysis of data from the original experiment, Padoa-Schioppa showed that different groups of cells in the orbitofrontal cortex reflect different stages of the decision-making process.

"Some neurons encode the value of individual drinks; other neurons encode the choice outcome in a binary way – these cells are either firing or silent depending on the chosen drink," he explained. "Yet other neurons encode the value of the chosen option."

Padoa-Schioppa then examined how different groups of cells determine decisions between options of equal value. He showed that toss-up decisions seemed to depend on changes in the initial state of the network of neurons in the orbitofrontal cortex.

"The fluctuations in the network took place before the primates were even offered a choice of juices, but they seem to somehow bias the decision," Padoa-Schioppa said. "Neuronal signals are always noisy. In essence, close-call decisions are partly determined by random noise."

He also found that decisions on choices of equal value were linked to the ease or difficulty with which nerve cells in parts of the orbitofrontal cortex communicate with each other. This property, known as synaptic efficacy, can be adjusted by the [brain](#) as part of the process of encoding information.

According to Padoa-Schioppa, these results provide new insights into the neuronal circuits that underlie economic decisions. He and his colleagues are using them to create a computational model of decision-making.

"The next step is to test that model," Padoa-Schioppa said. "For example, we would like to bias decisions by artificially manipulating the activity of specific groups of cells."

More information: Padoa-Schioppa C. "Neuronal origins of choice variability in economic decisions.: *Neuron*, December 8, 2013.

Provided by Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Citation: Heads or tails? Random fluctuations in brain cell activity may determine toss-up decisions (2013, December 5) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2013-12-tails-random-fluctuations-brain-cell.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.
