

## For good and ill, immune response to cancer cuts both ways

## April 7 2014

The difference between an immune response that kills cancer cells and one that conversely stimulates tumor growth can be as narrow as a "double-edged sword," report researchers at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine in the April 7, 2014 online issue of the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

"We have found that the intensity difference between an <u>immune</u> response that stimulates cancer and one that kills it may not be very much," said principal investigator Ajit Varki, MD, Distinguished Professor of Medicine and Cellular and Molecular Medicine. "This may come as a surprise to researchers exploring two areas typically considered distinct: the role of the immune system in preventing and killing cancers and the role of chronic inflammation in stimulating cancers. As always, it turns out that the <u>immune system</u> is a doubleedged sword."

The concept of naturally occurring "immunosurveillance" against malignancies is not new, and there is compelling evidence for it. But understanding this process is confounded by the fact that some types of <u>immune reaction</u> promote <u>tumor development</u>. Varki and colleagues looked specifically at a non-human sialic acid sugar molecule called Neu5Gc. Previous research has found that Neu5Gc accumulates in human tumors from dietary sources, despite an on-going antibody response against it.

The scientists deployed antibodies against Neu5Gc in a human-like



mouse <u>tumor</u> model to determine whether and to what degree the antibodies altered <u>tumor progression</u>. They found that low antibody doses stimulated growth, but high doses inhibited it. The effect occurred over a "linear and remarkably narrow range," said Varki, generating an immune response curve or "inverse hormesis." Moreover, this curve could be shifted to the left or right simply by modifying the quality of the immune response.

Similar findings were made in experiments with two other mouse tumor models, and with a human tumor xenograft model using a monoclonal antibody currently in clinical use. The scientists concluded that the difference in intensity between an immune response stimulating tumors and one that kills them may be much less than previously imagined.

Varki said the results may have implications for all aspects of cancer science, from studying its causes to prevention and treatment. This is because the immune response can have multiple roles in the genesis of cancers, in altering the progress of established tumors and in anti-cancer therapies that use antibodies as drugs.

**More information:** The findings will be presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research in San Diego, April 5 to 9.

*PNAS* paper: Inverse hormesis of cancer growth mediated by narrow ranges of tumor-directed antibodies, <u>www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1209067111</u>

Provided by University of California - San Diego

Citation: For good and ill, immune response to cancer cuts both ways (2014, April 7) retrieved



26 April 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2014-04-good-ill-immune-response-cancer.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.