

## Study finds children as young as six are biased toward their social group when combating unfairness

August 18 2014



Credit: Robert Kraft/public domain

Just about every parent is familiar with the signs: the crying, the stomping feet and pouting lips, all of which are usually followed by a collapse to the floor and a wailed insistence that, "It's not fair!"



While most people – including many parents – see such tantrums merely as part of growing up, a new study conducted by Harvard scientists suggests that, even at a relatively young age, children have advanced ideas about fairness, and are willing to pay a personal price to intervene in what they believe are unfair situations, even when they have not been personally disadvantaged – but that their reactions to unfairness are biased by in-group favoritism.

The study, co-authored by Harvard John L. Loeb Associate Professor of the Social Sciences Felix Warneken, former Harvard undergrad Jillian Jordan who is now a Ph.D student at Yale and Katherine McAuliffe, a former Harvard Ph.D. student who is now a post-doctoral fellow at Yale, showed that, when reacting to unfair behavior, children as young as six were biased toward members of their own social group. By age eight, however, children were more likely to intervene to stop any selfish behavior, whether or not the victim was a member of their group. The study is described in a paper published this week in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

"People have looked at this phenomenon extensively in adults, but this is the first time we've been able to investigate it in children," said Warneken. "The idea that children would care about inequity happening between individuals who aren't there, that in itself is somewhat surprising. They care about justice or fairness and are willing to intervene against selfish actions, and are even willing to pay a cost to do that."

To demonstrate that, Jordan, McAuliffe, and Warneken recruited 64 children -32 from each age group - to play a child-friendly version of the economic games used in other studies.

The first step in the study, however, was to establish a group identity for each child. Using the long-established "minimal group paradigm" –



researchers created groups in the lab by assigning each child to a "team" based on the colors blue and yellow – rather than using pre-existing groups like race or hair color that children were already aware of.

Each child then took part in a series of activities designed to reinforce their membership in that group. Members of the blue group, for example, wore blue party hats, and were asked to draw a picture using only the color blue.

After testing to ensure the children showed preferences for their own group, each was then presented with an apparatus showing how children –represented only by paper bags marked with faces and hats showing which color "team" they were on –had divided up six Skittles candies the day before. Children were then asked to be a third-party judge of whether the split was fair.

If the child approved of the division, they were told, the other children would receive the candy. If not, children in the study had to sacrifice one of their own candy pieces, and the candy belonging to other two players would be thrown away.

Interestingly, Jordan said, while the results showed that both age groups showed a willingness to intervene against behavior they saw as unfair, they became far more sensitive to selfish actions as they got older.

Furthermore, children showed in-group bias in the way that they responded to selfish behavior.

"In six-year-olds, we found that there were two types of in-group bias," Jordan explained. "First, they were more lenient in their punishment of selfish behavior that came from a member of their own group, and second, they were harsher in their punishment of selfish behavior that harmed a member of their group."



While eight-year-olds showed the same lenience when selfish behavior came from a member of their own group, Jordan and colleagues were surprised to find that they were equally willing to punish <u>selfish behavior</u> that harmed members of either group.

"The eight-year-olds were less biased than the six-year-olds," Jordan explained. "They were more willing to pay personal costs, and were less biased in the sense that they felt it was equally bad to treat people selfishly, regardless of what group they were in. They started to see outgroup members as legitimate victims, or just as legitimate as in-group members."

Going forward, McAuliffe and Warneken are working on studies aimed at exploring whether the same trends hold true cross-culturally by working with researchers conducting similar studies in Uganda and Vanuatu.

"It's a very interesting and important question – the extent to which this is specific to our society," McAuliffe said. "This study shows children aren't just going to watch and let unfairness happen, they're going to put their money where their mouth is, in a sense. When you think of these fairness norms, are they specific to Western culture or are they more general norms that <u>children</u> learn around the world?"

**More information:** Development of in-group favoritism in children's third-party punishment of selfishness, *PNAS*, <u>www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1402280111</u>

Provided by Harvard University

Citation: Study finds children as young as six are biased toward their social group when



combating unfairness (2014, August 18) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2014-08-children-young-biased-social-group.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.