

Errata frequently seen in medical literature

September 16 2014



(HealthDay)—Errata, including those that may materially change the interpretation of data, are frequent in medical publications, according to a study published in the August issue of *The American Journal of Medicine*.

Paul J. Hauptman, M.D., from St. Louis University School of Medicine, and colleagues conducted a retrospective evaluation of errata reports for articles published in 20 English-language general [medicine](#) and cardiovascular journals (mean [impact factor](#), 12.23) over an 18-month period. Each erratum was characterized by location in the article and qualitative categories of severity.

The researchers found that there were errata reports in association with 557 articles (overall errata report occurrence, 4.2 per 100 published original and review articles), yielding a mean of 2.4 errors per errata report. In 24.2 percent of articles with errata, at least one major error

that materially altered data interpretation was present. Impact factor and errata occurrence rate were strongly associated (P

"Increased vigilance by authors to prevent errata and consensus by journal editors on the format of reporting are warranted," conclude the authors.

More information: [Abstract](#)
[Full Text \(subscription or payment may be required\)](#)

Copyright © 2014 [HealthDay](#). All rights reserved.

Citation: Errata frequently seen in medical literature (2014, September 16) retrieved 24 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2014-09-errata-frequently-medical-literature.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--