

The failure of the Medicare principle of universality

March 2 2015

Monash University researchers have revealed for the first time clear and detailed evidence of the inequitable delivery of mental health care services for disadvantaged Australians.

Introduced in 1975, Australia's national health insurance scheme Medicare (originally Medibank) was envisioned to deliver the most equitable and efficient means of providing <u>health insurance coverage</u> for all Australians.

However Monash-led research published in the *Medical Journal of Australia* demonstrates inequity and a lack of universality of mental <u>health care delivery</u>.

Professor Graham Meadows, School of Clinical Sciences, said the results showed Australia had a multi-tier rather than universal system.

"People living in disadvantaged and rural areas typically receive a mental health service model characterised by lower volumes of service and provided by less highly trained providers," he said.

The study found that utilisation of psychiatric and clinical psychologist services was two to three times greater in affluent areas in Melbourne and Sydney (City of Bayside and North Sydney Council) compared with disadvantaged suburbs (City of Greater Dandenong and the City of Blacktown).



"Disturbingly, we know there are greater levels of psychiatric disorder in areas with greater socio-economic disadvantage, so we should expect a fully equitable mental <u>health care</u> system showing a corresponding usage pattern," said Professor Meadows.

In November 2006, the Australian government introduced an initiative called Better Access to Mental Health Care ('Better Access') consisting of new Medicare Benefit Schedule (MBS) items to improve access to psychiatrists, psychologists, and general practitioners.

"Obtained under the Freedom of Information Act, we undertook a detailed analysis of more than 25 million instances of care from 2007 to 2011 of all Medicare-supported mental health service delivery across Australia," said Professor Meadows.

Evaluation of the Better Access program highlights uptake rates for Psychological Therapy Services (PTS) decrease as levels of socioeconomic disadvantage increase.

Dr Joanne Enticott, Deputy Director and Coordinator of Health Services Research, Southern Synergy, Monash University said among people with comparable levels of diagnosable <u>mental health</u> problems, it seemed easier for the socio-economically advantaged to pass through the filters to specialist care.

"In other words, the criteria for stepping up a level of care may be different, and the disadvantaged may need higher levels of distress or disturbance to secure specialised levels of care. For the more disadvantaged even if they get care, they may get a shorter course of care than someone from a more affluent area," Dr Enticott said.

While the study does not offer specific solutions to this complex issue, the researchers note the likely influence of co-payments as a disincentive



to accessing care. Higher paid specialists such as psychiatrists and clinical psychologists also tend to practise closer to home, resulting in an inequitable distribution of service availability.

Professor Meadows said the findings demonstrated the Better Access initiative - while for many perhaps is providing better access - could be seen as failing on tests of equity.

"We hope our research will contribute to debate and discussion around policy incentives and strategies that work towards universal and equitable delivery of <u>mental health care</u> for all Australians," he said.

Provided by Monash University

Citation: The failure of the Medicare principle of universality (2015, March 2) retrieved 12 May 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-03-failure-medicare-principle-universality.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.