

No mortality benefit of bypass surgery compared to latest generation of drug-coated stents

March 16 2015

Newer drug-coated stents that keep arteries open have similar long-term rates of death compared with traditional bypass surgery for patients with more than one diseased coronary artery.

The findings come from a clinical registry study, led by cardiologists at NYU Langone Medical Center, which appears in this week's issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine*.

In the study, a sample of over 9,000 patients who received the latest stents were no more likely to die in the few years following the procedure, compared to a matched sample of over 9,000 patients who underwent bypass surgery instead.

Clinical practice in this area is still largely guided by past studies of bare-metal and first-generation drug-coated stents—studies that found greater long-term risks of heart attack and death from the devices, compared to traditional bypass surgery.

"We aren't using older stents anymore, so this study gives us some insight into more up-to-date clinical outcomes," says Sripal Bangalore MD, an associate professor in NYU Langone's Leon H. Charney Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, who was lead author of the study.

Stents are metal mesh tubes that are threaded into the heart through a leg or an arm artery using a catheter. They are then expanded to keep a blocked [coronary artery](#) open indefinitely. Introduced in the late 1980s, they are now commonly used to open blocked arteries in acute heart attack cases, and to widen narrowed vessels in less severe cases of cardiovascular disease. Hundreds of thousands of patients receive stents each year in the U.S.

The technique for inserting a stent—called percutaneous coronary intervention, or PCI—requires a much shorter hospital stay than traditional open-heart bypass surgery, and is much less likely to trigger strokes.

In the longer term, however, early generation stents have seemed prone to react with heart vessels in a way that promotes inflammation, scar tissue growth and the eventual re-closing of arteries. In addition, early generation stents were more prone for developing blood clots inside the stent, even many years after stent implantation, resulting in death or [heart attack](#).

The new study made use of data from New York state registries that track cardiovascular procedures. From nearly 35,000 cases during 2008-2011, the researchers selected two groups of 9,233 patients with multi-vessel disease that were closely matched in age and other relevant measures. One group had undergone bypass surgery, the other PCI with a new generation of stent that is coated with the drug everolimus to inhibit inflammation and scar tissue growth.

As expected, the stent group fared better in the first 30 days, with only half the number of deaths and one-sixth the number of strokes seen in the bypass group. But in contrast to previous studies, the stent group didn't seem to fare worse in the longer term. During the period from 30 days to about three years on average, the numbers of deaths in the two

groups were not significantly different.

Patients in the stent group got about 1.5 times the number of heart attacks long-term, compared to the bypass group, but for those patients whose stents successfully opened all of their diseased arteries, there was no significant increase in heart attacks.

Bypass surgery showed a clear advantage only in the percentages of patients who had to return to have an artery reopened: 3.1 percent for the bypass group, versus 7.2 percent per year for the stent group.

"The key finding is that there was no greater mortality in the sample of patients receiving the latest generation stents," says Dr. Bangalore. "This may encourage [patients](#) and physicians to weigh the risks and benefits of stenting versus [bypass surgery](#), now that we have further information."

Although this was an observational study, not a randomized clinical trial, Dr. Bangalore notes that its results are consistent with a general trend in New York clinical registry studies. "Over the last twenty years of these registry studies, the gap in apparent mortality risk between bypass and PCI has been getting smaller and smaller as stent technologies have advanced," he says.

Provided by New York University School of Medicine

Citation: No mortality benefit of bypass surgery compared to latest generation of drug-coated stents (2015, March 16) retrieved 9 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-03-mortality-benefit-bypass-surgery-latest.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--