

Carrot or stick? Punishments may guide behavior more effectively than rewards

May 6 2015



Which is more effective -- the carrot or the stick? Researchers at Washington University in St. Louis have devised a simple experiment to test the effects of rewards and punishments on behavior and have found that punishments seem to be more effective at influencing behavior than rewards. Credit: Sara Dickherber, Washington University

When it comes to rewards and punishments, which is more effective—the carrot or the stick?

A simple experiment devised at Washington University in St. Louis



suggests that punishments are more likely to influence behavior than rewards. The results, which stem from a study involving 88 students at the university, are available online in the journal *Cognition*.

The study found that losses—or punishments—had a measured impact two to three times greater than gains—or rewards.

In one study group, students listened to a series of clicking noises and indicated whether they heard more clicks in the left or right ear. In another group, students watched for flashes of light on a screen and indicated whether they saw more flashes on the right or left side. The number of clicks and flashes on each side were randomized and often very close together, making the task challenging and the students often uncertain of the correct response.

Every time a student made a choice, the researchers randomly displayed a token for 5, 10, 15, 20 or 25 cents that was given as a <u>reward</u> for the correct answer or taken away as a <u>punishment</u> for an incorrect response.

As might be expected, when a student was rewarded, he or she tended to repeat the previous choice. And that tendency grew stronger as the award increased. When a student was punished, he or she strongly avoided the previous choice.

However, unlike the response to a reward, no matter how large a sum was lost, the students showed a strong and consistent tendency to avoid the previous choice. This was true in both groups—among those who heard clicks and those who viewed flashes—demonstrating that the stimulus itself didn't matter.

"Objectively, you'd think that winning 25 cents would have the same magnitude of effect as losing 25 cents, but that's not what we find," said the study's lead author, Jan Kubanek, PhD, a postdoctoral research



associate in anatomy and neurobiology at Washington University School of Medicine.

Past studies that focused on the effects of rewards and punishments on behavior have been complex, and it has been difficult to separately evaluate the distinct effects of rewards and punishments. In this study, because the stimulus—clicks or flashes—was random from trial to trial, the researchers were able to more easily pinpoint the effect of a reward or punishment on the subsequent behavior.

The study, performed in collaboration with Richard A. Abrams, PhD, professor of psychology, may help in the understanding of learning behaviors. For example, would students learn more efficiently if their teachers rewarded correct answers or pointed out incorrect ones?

According to this research, in some situations it may be better to deduct points when students are wrong than to reward them for correct answers. This may help students avoid making the same mistake again.

"The question of how rewards and punishments influence behavior has occupied psychologists for over 100 years," said Abrams. "The difficulty has been devising effective tasks to probe that question. We used a simple approach that reveals dramatic differences in the way people respond to different types of feedback."

Added Kubanek: "Regarding teaching strategies, our study suggests that negative feedback may be more effective than positive feedback at modifying behavior. Our study showed that such feedback does not have to be harsh, since it appears that we tend to react in the same manner to any amount of negative feedback. From an evolutionary perspective, people tend to avoid punishments or dangerous situations. Rewards, on the other hand, have less of a life-threatening impact."



This could help explain why <u>students</u> in the study strongly avoided repeating mistakes, no matter how big the punishment was.

The researchers next plan to look at how behavioral changes in response to rewards and punishments are encoded in the brain. "Do the neural signals in our brain also show discrepancies between how we react to rewards and punishments?" Kubanek asked. "Studying the neural mechanism involved may help us better understand and possibly alleviate neurological disorders in which the associated processes go awry."

More information: Kubanek J, Snyder LH, Abrams RA. Reward and punishment act as distinct factors in guiding behavior. *Cognition*, published online March 28, 2015.

Provided by Washington University School of Medicine

Citation: Carrot or stick? Punishments may guide behavior more effectively than rewards (2015, May 6) retrieved 6 May 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-05-carrot-behavior-effectively-rewards.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.