

Nature vs. nurture results in a draw, according to twins meta-study

May 19 2015



The research drew on data from almost every twin study across the world from the past 50 years.

One of the great tussles of science – whether our health is governed by nature or nurture – has been settled, and it is effectively a draw.

University of Queensland researcher Dr Beben Benyamin from the Queensland Brain Institute collaborated with researchers at VU University of Amsterdam to review almost every twin study across the world from the past 50 years, involving more than 14.5 million twin pairs.

The findings, published in *Nature Genetics*, reveal on average the variation for human traits and diseases is 49 per cent genetic, and 51 per cent due to [environmental factors](#) and/or measurement errors.

"There has still been conjecture over how much variation is caused by genetics and how much is caused by environmental factors—what people call nature versus nurture," Dr Benyamin said.

"We wanted to resolve that by revisiting almost all the genetic twin studies conducted over the past 50 years, and comparing all of them together," he said.

Although the contribution of genetic and environmental factors was balanced for most of the traits studied, the research showed there could be significant differences in individual traits.

For example, risk for bipolar disorder was about 70 per cent due to genetics and 30 per cent due to environmental factors.

"When visiting the nature versus nurture debate, there is overwhelming evidence that both genetic and environmental factors can influence traits and diseases," Dr Benyamin said.

"What is comforting is that, on average, about 50 per cent of individual differences are genetic and 50 per cent are environmental.

"The findings show that we need to look at ourselves outside of a view of [nature versus nurture](#), and instead look at it as [nature and nurture](#)."

In 69 per cent of cases, the study also revealed that individual traits were the product of the cumulative effect of genetic differences.

"This means that there are good reasons to study the biology of human

traits, and that the combined effect of many genes on a trait is simply the sum of the effect of each individual gene," Dr Benjamin said.

"This finding has implications for choosing the best strategy to find genes affecting disease."

Professor Peter Visscher from QBI said the study was performed using publications from the classical twin design, which compares the similarities of identical twins who share all their genes, to those of non-identical twins who share half their genes.

"Twin studies have been the main method for researching the genetic and environmental sources of variation between humans for a long time because of the availability of the two types of twins," Professor Visscher said.

The study involved a meta-analysis of 17,804 traits from 2748 publications between 1958 and 2012, based on data from 14,558,903 [twin pairs](#). The paper is online here.

More information: "Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies." *Nature Genetics* (2015) [DOI: 10.1038/ng.3285](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3285)

Provided by University of Queensland

Citation: Nature vs. nurture results in a draw, according to twins meta-study (2015, May 19) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-05-nature-nurture-results-twins-meta-study.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private

study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.