

Charging migrants for access to health services will not ease strain on NHS

June 16 2015

New measures introduced by the UK government in April linking applications for residence permits to up-front payments for potential use of NHS hospital services, and proposals to further restrict access to NHS services for migrants, will not reduce the strain on NHS resources - and may end up costing more in the long run.

Writing in *The BMJ* this week, Lilana Keith and Ewout van Ginneken say such policies are "shortsighted and misleading."

The newly implemented policy imposes an up-front surcharge of £200 (€275; \$310) per year to guarantee access to NHS hospital care for people from outside the European Economic Area when they submit an application to work, study, or visit their families in the UK for a period of longer than six months - or when they are applying to extend their visas.

Those who cannot pay will automatically have their application rejected.

Some applicants do not need to pay the surcharge, such as asylum seekers and victims of human trafficking, explain the authors. However, not all vulnerable groups of migrants are protected from these charges.

They include some undocumented migrants - often people who enter Europe with a visa but after a period of time experience difficulties, such as exploitation at work, and are unable to extend their leave to remain. The surcharge will push people into irregularity and prevent

some undocumented migrants with a right to reside in the UK from being able to regularise their residence, they warn.

"This policy alone makes the UK an outlier in Europe," they write. No other EU country has such a structural link between immigration applications and access to health services.

The government is also considering charging undocumented migrants for emergency and primary services which are currently provided free of charge.

"The UK government wants the public to believe that the changes being proposed will make the country less appealing to undocumented migrants and will save taxpayers' money.

This view is shortsighted and misleading," say the authors. For example, not having access to preventive services may lead to outbreaks, with subsequent costs to the health system and wider society. Restricting access to services based on residence status also requires complex administration, itself a major burden on the NHS.

The authors argue that the estimated 618,000 undocumented migrants living in the UK contribute to the economy and that denying access "may end up costing the NHS more in the long run."

"The UK government is wrong to assume that charging migrants for access to [health services](#) will strongly reduce the strain on NHS resources," they write. The restrictions have several negative knock-on effects, as well as causing direct harm to the health and wellbeing of vulnerable people.

"Rather than holding a public consultation on extending charging to emergency and primary care, as the freshly elected Conservative

government plans to do, the evidence indicates that the opposite approach would serve the UK and its NHS better. They should explore ways to provide non-discriminatory access to the NHS for all," they conclude.

More information: Restricting access to the NHS for undocumented migrants is bad policy at high cost, *The BMJ*, www.bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.h3056

Provided by British Medical Journal

Citation: Charging migrants for access to health services will not ease strain on NHS (2015, June 16) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-06-migrants-access-health-ease-strain.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.