

## 24-hour OBs, midwives lead to fewer C-sections

September 9 2015, by Suzanne Leigh



Privately insured pregnant women are less likely to have C-sections when their regular care includes midwives and 24-hour obstetrician coverage, according to a study by researchers at UC San Francisco and Marin General Hospital.

The study published online in *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, on Sept. 8, compared the number of C-sections among women with private insurance, before and after an overhaul of staff practices at Marin General Hospital.

Prior to April 2011, private patients at this community hospital in Northern California were managed under a conventional model, in which



labor and delivery care was provided by a private physician or covering partner. In that model, the physician took calls at home or in the office and generally managed labor remotely, the authors wrote.

Pregnant women who were covered by state public health insurance already had access to 24-hour in-house obstetricians and midwives, and were noted to have fewer C-sections.

Then the hospital implemented two major changes for private patients: They made midwives available around the clock to all patients and they enlisted 10 of their private practice obstetricians as "laborists," who provided "in-house labor and delivery coverage without competing clinical duties."

"In many community hospitals, labor is managed by nurses with obstetricians providing supervision by phone and typically reaching the patient in time for delivery," said primary author Melissa Rosenstein, MD, MAS, of the UCSF Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences.

"Nationally, however, more and more hospitals in the U.S. now employ midwives and laborists offering alternative models of obstetric care," she said. "Because privately insured women at Marin General Hospital had their care changed from the traditional one to a new one incorporating laborists and midwives, it was the perfect setting to study the impact that this type of change would have on the C-section delivery rate."

In 2013, 32.7 percent of births were by C-section according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. C-sections are considered a safe procedure, but are associated with a higher risk of infection to the bladder or uterus, injury to the urinary tract and complications with future pregnancies.



Rosenstein and her team studied data for 3,560 first-time, single births in which the fetus was in the normal headfirst position. They also tracked the deliveries of 1,324 <u>pregnant women</u> with prior C-sections. Just under half of these patients were privately insured women whose care model had changed.

In the years before the switch, 31.7 percent of the privately insured women underwent C-section, versus 25 percent afterwards. This downward trend continued at a rate of approximately 1.7 percent each year until the end of the study period in 2014.

When they looked at privately insured women with prior C-sections, they found that vaginal deliveries after the switch had increased from 13.3 percent to 22.4 percent. The C-section delivery rates for both groups of publicly insured women, who had access to midwives and laborists throughout the study period, did not change significantly.

"There are several ways in which the in-house model of care may have resulted in fewer C-sections," said co-author Malini Nijagal, MD, who was formerly with Prima Medical Group in Novato, Calif., and Marin General Hospital in Greenbrae, Calif., and is now at Boston Medical Center.

"Competing surgical and office responsibilities in the former model of private care may impact a provider's decision-making around proceeding with C-section, versus waiting for a vaginal birth when labor begins to deviate from what is expected or hoped for," Nijagal said. "Previously, decisions about C-sections were made independently by one physician. Under the new model, which includes <u>midwives</u> with specific expertise in normal physiologic birth, there is a team approach of care with clinicians discussing each patient twice daily. This often leads to consideration of alternative options for labor management."



**More information:** "The Association of Expanded Access to a Collaborative Midwifery and Laborist Model With Cesarean Delivery Rates." *Obstetrics & Gynecology*: DOI: 10.1097/AOG.000000000001032

## Provided by University of California, San Francisco

Citation: 24-hour OBs, midwives lead to fewer C-sections (2015, September 9) retrieved 9 April 2024 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-09-hour-obs-midwives-c-sections.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-09-hour-obs-midwives-c-sections.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.