


Study uses gene editing to take brakes off lab-based red blood cell production

October 22 2015



This stylistic diagram shows a gene in relation to the double helix structure of DNA and to a chromosome (right). The chromosome is X-shaped because it is dividing. Introns are regions often found in eukaryote genes that are removed in the splicing process (after the DNA is transcribed into RNA): Only the exons encode the protein. The diagram labels a region of only 55 or so bases as a gene. In reality, most genes are hundreds of times longer. Credit: Thomas

Turning off a single gene leads to a roughly three-to-five-fold gain in the yield of laboratory methods for producing red blood cells from stem cells, according to a multi-institutional team led by researchers at Dana-Farber/Boston Children's Cancer and Blood Disorders Center. These findings, published in *Cell Stem Cell*, suggest a way to cost-effectively manufacture red blood cells from stem cells; the patients who could potentially benefit include those who cannot use blood currently available in blood banks.

Previous research has shown that it is possible to use various methods to force different kinds of [stem cells](#) to produce transfusion-grade red blood cells in a laboratory, but, at a cost between \$8,000 and \$15,000 per unit of blood, the processes are expensive. This is the first study to combine stem cells, powerful gene editing tools, and data from genome-wide association studies (GWAS).

The research team behind the *Cell Stem Cell* study—led by senior author and Dana-Farber/Boston Children's pediatric hematologist Vijay Sankaran, MD, PhD—homed in on their target gene, called SH2B3, after GWAS data revealed naturally occurring variations in the gene's sequence that reduce its activity result in increased red blood cell production.

"There's a variation in SH2B3 found in about 40 percent of people that leads to modestly higher red blood cell counts," Sankaran said. "But if you look at people with really high red blood cell levels, they often have rare SH2B3 mutations. That said to us that here is a target where you can partially or completely eliminate its function as a way of increasing red blood cells robustly.

"There are many patients with rare blood types or blood disorders who need very specific kinds of blood and cannot accept most donated blood," Sankaran continued. "Also, there are patients for whom there is a possibility of using red blood cells as a way of delivering therapies."

Sankaran and his collaborators—including study co-first authors Felix Giani, Claudia Fiorini, PhD, and Aoi Wakabayashi of Dana-Farber/Boston Children's—wanted to see if were possible to use SH2B3 as a target to genetically increase the yield of laboratory-based red blood cell production processes (as opposed to tweaking cells in culture by adding cytokines and other factors). To do, so, they first used RNA interference (RNAi, which silences gene expression) to turn down SH2B3 in donated adult, human, blood-forming stem cells (hematopoietic stem and [progenitor cells](#), or HSPCs) and HSPCs from human umbilical cord blood.

The team's data confirmed that shutting off SH2B3 with RNAi skews an HSPC's profile of cell production to favor red blood cells. Adult and cord [blood stem cells](#) treated with RNAi produced three-to-five and five-to-seven times more red blood cells than controls, respectively. Using multiple tests, the team found that the red blood cells produced by RNAi were essentially indistinguishable from control cells.

Sankaran and his team recognized that their HSPC/RNAi approach would be very difficult to scale up to a level that could impact the clinical need for red blood cells. Thus, in a separate set of experiments, they used CRISPR gene editing to permanently shut off SH2B3 in human embryonic stem cell (hESC) lines, which can be readily renewed in a laboratory. They then treated the edited cells with a cocktail of factors known to encourage blood cell production. Under these conditions, the edited hESCs produced three times more red blood cells than controls. Again, the team could find no significant differences between red blood cells from the edited stem cells and controls.

Sankaran thinks that SH2B3's enforces some kind of upper limit on how much red blood cell precursors respond to calls for more red blood cell production.

"This is a nice approach because it removes the brakes that normally keep cells restrained and limit how much red blood cell precursors respond to different laboratory conditions," Sankaran explained.

He notes that in his vision, stem cells edited to keep SH2B3 turned off would be maintained in culture as a kind of cellular starter and used to produce red [blood cells](#) for treatment purposes; the edited stem cells themselves would never be used for direct treatment.

He also believes that with further development, the combination of CRISPR and hESCs could increase the yields and reduce the costs of producing [red blood cell](#) in the laboratory to the level where commercial-scale manufacture could be feasible.

"This is allowing us to get close to the cost of normal donor-derived blood units," he said. ""If we can get the costs down to about \$2,000 per unit, that's a reasonable cost."

Provided by Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Citation: Study uses gene editing to take brakes off lab-based red blood cell production (2015, October 22) retrieved 5 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-10-gene-lab-based-red-blood-cell.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--