

# Researchers find heart attack patients not always receiving lowest-risk care

December 21 2015

---

More than 375,000 American each year experience a heart attack, during which blood flow to a part of the heart is impeded by blocked arteries. Physicians often treat patients with stents, which prop open the arteries to allow blood to flow again. They use two approaches to place stents: transradial, or entry of the catheter which delivers the stent through the wrist, or transfemoral, in which the catheter is placed through the groin. In a comparison of bleeding complications and mortality between the two approaches, researchers from the Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania found that those at risk for more bleeding were often treated with a riskier procedure - the transfemoral approach - a demonstration of the so-called risk-treatment paradox. The findings, from the largest study of its kind, are detailed in this week's *Journal of the American College of Cardiology: Cardiovascular Interventions*.

The subject of the study, known as rescue [percutaneous coronary intervention](#) (PCI), is performed when a patient's [heart attack](#) has not subsided after being treated with powerful clot-busting medications, an approach commonly used in developing countries and rural areas of the United States where access to catheterization labs are not immediately available. Researchers gathered data on 9,494 [patients](#) from the National Cardiovascular Registry's CathPCI database and analyzed records for those who underwent rescue PCI between 2009 and 2013.

The findings revealed that transradial rescue PCI was only used in about 15 percent of the rescue PCI cases performed in the United States, and bleeding was reduced in these cases. The team also found that patients

who were most prone to bleeding - as determined by a 33-category risk model which accessed clinical features such as age, [body mass index](#), preexisting heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease - were more likely to be treated via transfemoral access, which is known to result in more significant post-procedure bleeding. Of the 1,348 transradial cases analyzed, only 93 patients experienced a bleeding complication. However in the 8,146 treated with transfemoral PCI, 967 had significant post-procedural bleeding, a five percent difference between the two groups.

"We were surprised to see how few of these rescue PCI cases were approached with transradial access, given the increase in bleeding one might expect when performing a procedure on a patient who recently received thrombolytic therapy," said the study's senior author, Jay Giri, MD, MPH, an assistant professor of Clinical Cardiovascular Medicine. "Even more interesting was the finding that among the group studied, patients at the highest risk for bleeding - those who would benefit most from transradial access - were least likely to receive that procedure. This counterintuitive finding is a demonstration of the 'risk-treatment paradox,' showing that doctors in these cases made treatment decisions based on what they are most comfortable with rather than what is best for the patient."

The risk-treatment paradox describes a situation in which patients who are at the highest baseline risk for a condition are less likely to be treated aggressively for that condition. In the present case, the authors note that this finding may have been driven by decision-influencing factors such as physician training or experience.

Despite differences in [bleeding](#) rates, researchers found that there was no significant difference in mortality rates - a less than one percent variance. In addition, the team only evaluated cases where the patient was in stable condition following the clot-busting medication. Therefore,

high-risk patients - those in need of assistive heart pumps - with a higher risk of death were not included in the analysis.

Giri added that until 2004, few transradial PCI procedures were performed in the United States, and little research was available to demonstrate its efficacy. "However, in recent years, both research and widespread education in the technique has led to exponential growth in its use," he noted. "I would expect that over the next few years, transradial PCI will become the standard for [heart attack patients](#), and these results help to further define best practices in its use."

**More information:** [dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2015.07.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2015.07.028)

Provided by University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine

Citation: Researchers find heart attack patients not always receiving lowest-risk care (2015, December 21) retrieved 1 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2015-12-heart-patients-lowest-risk.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.
---