

Neural networks learn to link temporally dispersed stimuli

March 8 2016



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Rustling leaves, a creaking branch: To a mouse, these sensory impressions may at first seem harmless - but not if a cat suddenly bursts out of the bush. If so, they were clues of impending life-threatening danger. Robert Gütig of the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine in Göttingen has now found how the brain can link sensory



perceptions to events occurring after a delay. In a computer model, he has developed a learning procedure in which the model neurons can learn to distinguish between many different stimuli by adjusting their activity to the frequency of the cues. The model even works when there is a time delay between the cue and the event or outcome. Not only is Gütig's learning procedure vital for the survival of every living creature in that it enables them to filter environmental stimuli; it also helps solve a number of technological learning difficulties. One possible application is in the development of speech recognition programs.

In the animal world, dangers are frequently preceded by warning signs: telltale sounds, movements and odours may be clues of an imminent attack. If a mouse survives an attack by a cat, its future will be brighter if it learns from the failed attempt and reads the clues early next time round. However, mice are constantly bombarded with a vast number of sensorial impressions, most of which are not associated with danger. So how do they know which sounds and odours from their environment presage a cat attack and which do not?

This poses a problem for the mouse's brain. In most cases, the crucial environmental stimuli are temporally dispersed from the actual attack, so the brain must link a clue and the resulting event (e.g. a sound and an attack) even though there is a delay between them. Previous theories have not provided satisfactory explanations as to how the brain bridges the gap between a cue and the associated outcome. Robert Gütig of the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine has discovered how the brain can solve this problem. On the computer, he programmed a neural network that reacts to stimuli in the same way as a cluster of biological cells. This network can learn to filter out the cues that predict a subsequent event.

It depends on the frequency





Robert Gütig has programmed neuronal networks and lined them with different sensory stimuli (coloured boxes) that are reflected in the input activity (Point Pitch). The black curves represent the membrane potential profiles of model neurons before (top curve) and after (second to fifth curves) different learning scenarios. Depending on whether and how much help the individual sensory stimuli contribute to a learning signal, the cell can filter out the associated activity pattern from the input type and encode the stimuli with a certain number of action potentials. Credit: MPI f. Experimental Medicine/ R. Gütig

The network learns by strengthening or weakening specific synapses between the model neurons. The foundation of the computer model is a



synaptic learning rule under which individual neurons can increase or decrease their activity in response to a simple learning signal. Gütig has used this learning rule to establish a new learning procedure. "This 'aggregate-label' learning procedure is built on the concept of setting the connections between cells in such a way that the resulting neural activity over a certain period is proportional to the number of cues," explains Gütig. In this way, if a learning signal reflects the occurrence and intensity of certain events in the mouse's environment, the neurons learn to react to the stimuli that predict those events.

However, Gütig's networks can learn to react to <u>environmental stimuli</u> even when no learning signals are available in the environment. They do this by interpreting the average neural activity within a network as a learning signal. Individual neurons learn to react to stimuli that occur in the same numbers as those to which other neurons in the network react. This 'self-supervised' learning follows a principle different to the Hebbian theory that has frequently been applied in artificial neural networks. Hebbian networks learn by strengthening the synapses between neurons that spike at the same time or in quick succession. "In self-supervised learning, it is not necessary for the neural activity to be temporally aligned. The total number of spikes in a given period is the deciding factor for synaptic change," says Gütig. This means that such networks can link sensory clues of different types, e.g. visual, auditory and olfactory, even when there are significant delays between their respective neural representations.

Not only does Gütig's learning procedure explain biological processes; it could also pave the way for far-reaching improvements to technological applications such as automatic <u>speech recognition</u>. "That would facilitate considerable simplification of the training requirements for computer-based speech recognition. Instead of laboriously segmented language databases or complex segmentation algorithms, aggregate-label learning could manage with just the subtitles from newscasts, for example," says



Gütig.

More information: R. Gutig. Spiking neurons can discover predictive features by aggregate-label learning, *Science* (2016). DOI: <u>10.1126/science.aab4113</u>

Provided by Max Planck Society

Citation: Neural networks learn to link temporally dispersed stimuli (2016, March 8) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2016-03-neural-networks-link-temporally-</u> <u>dispersed.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.