

## Autism brain response theory a dead end, study says

December 6 2016



Credit: Human Brain Project

A new study out today in the journal *Cerebral Cortex* challenges the hypothesis that nerve cells in the brains of individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorders do not reliably and consistently respond to external stimuli.



"Our findings show there is no measurable variation in how individuals with Autism respond to repeated visual and tactile stimuli," said John Foxe, Ph.D., the chair of the University of Rochester Medical Center Department of Neuroscience and senior author of the study. "Consequently, the concept that the symptoms of Autism may arise from unreliable <a href="mailto:brain activity">brain activity</a> in response to the senses is in all likelihood a scientific cul-de-sac."

The neuronal unreliability theory, which has gained traction in recent years in the wake of a study published in 2012, is based on the assumption that the brain's response to repetitive stimuli - visual, audio, or touch - should be steady and consistent. According to this theory, the brain's response is not constant in individuals with Autism and, consequently, alters their perception of the physical environment and impairs cognitive and social development.

The theory did not ring true with Foxe and his colleagues, based on their decades of studying the brain activity of children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Furthermore, the original studies that formed the basis for this hypothesis involved functional MRI experiments which measure changes in the <u>blood oxygen levels</u> in the brain. While fluctuations in blood flow are important indicators of brain activity, these measures do not precisely correlate to the more rapid electrical activity that occurs in the brain when nerve cells are stimulated.

The new study involved 20 individuals diagnosed with Autism and 20 individuals who served as healthy controls. The participants were fitted with a dense array of electrodes on the surface of their scalp to record brain electrical activity and were then exposed to repeated visual stimuli. No matter how the researchers measured the variability of the responses, brain responses in Autism were as stable as those of the controls. To make sure that this wasn't just the case in the visual system, the team also evaluated tactile inputs - repeated touches to the wrists of



participants - and, once again, measures of brainwave responses provided no evidence whatsoever of increased response variability in the individuals with Autism.

"The point of this study is not to make the case that there aren't any differences in the way that people with an Autism Spectrum Disorders process touch, sight or sound; research shows clear differences in some cases," said Sophie Molholm, Ph.D., an associate professor of Pediatrics and Neuroscience at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine and coauthor of the study. "Rather, it is to say that whatever those differences may be, they likely don't simply arise because the brain responses in Autism are more variable."

The authors contend that, while the study essentially demonstrates negative findings, it represents an important contribution in the field of Autism where much of our understanding of the disease is - to the frustration of patients, families, research, and caregivers alike - long on theory and conjecture but short on solid scientific fact.

"We are extremely grateful to the journal for realizing the value of work that says in essence 'there is nothing to be found here," said John Butler, Ph.D., an assistant lecturer at the Dublin Institute of Technology and lead author of the study. "It is just as important to get information out there that questions a major theory in the field as it is to publish work that supports it."

## Provided by University of Rochester Medical Center

Citation: Autism brain response theory a dead end, study says (2016, December 6) retrieved 9 April 2024 from

https://medicalxpress.com/news/2016-12-autism-brain-response-theory-dead.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.