

## In Bangladesh, people are eating more fish but getting less nutrition from it

April 11 2017, by Jessica Bogard



Credit: Mufty Munir/EPA

People in Bangladesh are now eating 30 percent more fish than they did 20 years ago, but they are getting a smaller amount of important nutrients from it, <u>a new study shows</u>.

Fish is widely known as a healthy <u>food</u>, rich in protein and other nutrients, so how can this be? Well, it comes down to the types of <u>fish</u> available for people to eat.



In Bangladesh as in many regions in the world, naturally harvested fish, which is known as capture fisheries, <u>are faltering</u>. A combination of overfishing, pollution and environmental damage has led to significant losses in both quantities of fish (biomass) and the number of <u>fish species</u> (biodiversity) available.

The result is that consumption of fish from capture fisheries in Bangladesh <u>declined by 33 percent between 1991 and 2010</u>.

This trend is also seen globally. Production from global capture fisheries <u>peaked in the 1990s and has since been declining</u> as unsustainable fishing practices continue to worsen. Globally, <u>89 percent of marine fish</u> <u>stocks are either overfished or at maximum capacity</u>.

At the same time, aquaculture or fish farming (known as the "<u>blue</u> <u>revolution</u>") has been rapidly expanding. In fact, it is the fastest growing food production sector globally, with <u>average annual growth of 8 percent</u> , and now <u>accounts for half of all fish</u> consumed by people around the world.

Since aquaculture was introduced in Bangladesh in the 1980s, the industry has grown rapidly. And the country is now the <u>world's sixth</u> <u>largest producer</u> of aquaculture products.

Growth in this sector has more than compensated for declines in the quantity of fish available from capture fisheries, and this is evident in large increases in consumption over time.

But what is not widely known is that the nutritional value of different fish species varies greatly. It turns out that, in Bangladesh, local species from capture fisheries are generally <u>much more nutritious</u> than the species being farmed.



Capture fisheries in the country are <u>dominated by nearly 300 species of</u> <u>"small indigenous fish"</u>, which are often consumed whole, including head and bones.

Nutrition powerhouses, these small fish are rich sources of important micronutrients including iron, zinc, calcium and vitamin A, as well as high-quality protein.

Aquaculture, on the other hand, is dominated by a <u>handful of large fish</u> <u>species</u>, both indigenous and exotic. Only the flesh of large fish is normally eaten, which is a rich source of high-quality protein, but generally has lower micronutrient content.

As diets have shifted towards more farmed fish, nutrient intakes from fish has declined. And this has serious implications for <u>a country</u> <u>suffering widespread malnutrition</u>.

Indeed, Bangladesh has among the worst malnutrition rates in the world. More than one in three children under five years of age <u>are stunted</u> – an indicator of chronic malnutrition. And <u>millions live with various</u> <u>micronutrient deficiencies</u>.

This has been estimated to cost the country <u>US\$1 billion each year in</u> <u>foregone economic productivity</u>. And that doesn't take into account the cost to the health-care system for treating malnutrition or other social costs.

Malnutrition in its various forms affects nearly every country in the world. Combined with poor diet, it's the <u>number one cause of the global</u> <u>burden of disease</u>.

Fish is a nutrient-rich food that can play a more significant role in addressing this global challenge, not only in Bangladesh but in many



regions.

If the <u>United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal of ending</u> <u>malnutrition</u> is to be achieved, the goals of food production systems, including aquaculture, must be realigned to focus on nutrition. And this means diverse food systems that prioritise nutrient-rich foods.

A novel example of such an approach also comes from Bangladesh. Nutrient-rich small fish are produced in aquaculture systems alongside large fish in a <u>practice known as polyculture</u>, so that several species are raised in the same pond.

The large fish can be sold for income, while small fish are harvested regularly for household consumption or, when in excess, for sale. Farmers are able to increase total yields while also improving the nutritional quality of their production systems.

One small fish, known as mola (*Amblypharyngodon mola*), is an exceptional source of vitamin A, and including it in polyculture systems <u>has been shown</u> to be a cost-effective strategy for alleviating vitamin A deficiency. Despite the evidence, this approach is yet to be widely adopted.

Research confirms that simply producing more food is not the only global challenge. The focus of food production systems, including aquaculture, must move beyond maximising yields to also consider nutritional quality. Otherwise, the world will continue to confront situations like the one in Bangladesh, where malnutrition remains in spite of plenty.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.



## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: In Bangladesh, people are eating more fish but getting less nutrition from it (2017, April 11) retrieved 6 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2017-04-bangladesh-people-fish-nutrition.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.