

How the brain 'plays' with predictability and randomness to choose the right time to act

May 17 2017



Different brain regions introduce predictability and randomness in the timing of actions. Credit: Gil Costa (Champalimaud Centre for the Unknown)



Deciding when to take an action can be as important as deciding what action to take. Even in the best controlled of laboratory settings, the timing of a subject's decisions is impossible to completely predict. And this apparently random component may play an important role in evading competition and exploring options.

Now, neuroscientists at the Champalimaud Centre for the Unknown, in Lisbon, Portugal, have shown that the exact moment of the execution of an action is the combination of a predictable and an unpredictable component that are processed by different regions of the brain. Their results are published in the May 17 issue of the journal *Neuron*.

Being able to choose the right time to act is crucial for a successful outcome in many situations. Act too soon or too late, and the target will be missed, an opportunity lost or time wasted. Getting the <u>timing</u> right requires that the one learns from experience and adapts to the current situation.

One might think that injecting "noise" in the timing of actions would be counterproductive. But it often pays to be unpredictable. Take soccer—the ability of a skilled shooter to outwit the goalkeeper depends in part on his ability to deliver the ball at an unpredictable time and location. If the same action were performed in the exact same way and at precisely the same moment every time a situation presents itself, organisms would be easily outwitted by competitors. Moreover, there would be no room for exploring better solutions, for creativity.

How does the brain manage to optimize the timing of actions to the circumstances whilst still retaining a good measure of unpredictability? That is what the authors of the new study set out to unravel.

"Our goal was to understand better the mechanisms in the brain that determine the timing of actions," says Zach Mainen, who led the study.



"We were especially interested in the fact that action timing often shows great variability, apparent randomness, even when the conditions are held constant."

To study this, the scientists trained rats to perform a task that tested the animals' patience. The rats heard a tone and had to decide, at a certain point, to move to a water dispenser to quench their thirst. However, they learned that if they were patient enough to wait for a second tone (for a random amount of time) before moving to the spot where they would be rewarded, they got substantially more water than if they sought their reward before hearing the second tone.

As expected, in this setting, how long the animal is willing to wait for the second tone is partly predictable. But at the same time, there is an important random component, a substantial dose of unpredictability.

Separation of powers

In a second phase, the team repeated the experiments, only this time they also recorded the simultaneous activity of multiple neurons in the rats' brain, either in a region of the prefrontal cortex (involved in decision-making, planification and learning) called MPFC, or in a region of the motor cortex, M2, thought to be involved in the direct control of movements. Both these regions are needed for deciding the right timing of actions.

With neural recording experiments, they found that, in M2, neuronal activity reflected both random and predictable components of action timing. But the "most surprising" finding, says Masayoshi Murakami, the study's first author, was that MPFC has nothing to do with action timing randomness. "I showed that MPFC does not care about the random part—and it is this separation [of function between M2 and MPFC] that is new," he adds.



"We found that two different regions within the brain seem to play very different roles in the generation of action timing," says Mainen. "One area, the medial <u>prefrontal cortex</u> [MPFC] appears to keep track of the ideal waiting time based on experience. A second area, the secondary motor cortex, also keeps track of the ideal timing and in addition shows variability that renders individual decisions unpredictable. This suggests a previously not well appreciated 'separation of powers' within the brain."

How do these two regions interact? According to Mainen, the new results also suggest that the generation of the deterministic component of action timing happens first, and that the random (or "stochastic") component is then added on top. "The variability does not 'flow' backwards," he argues. "Otherwise, both regions would show variability."

"A similar interplay between optimization and generation of variability underlies the theory of evolution," concludes Mainen. "Here, we have begun to see how this plays out in the <u>brain</u>."

More information: *Neuron* (2017). <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.1016/j.neuron.2017.04.040</u>

Provided by Champalimaud Centre for the Unknown

Citation: How the brain 'plays' with predictability and randomness to choose the right time to act (2017, May 17) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2017-05-brain-randomness.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.