

Endobronchial valve treatment appears to improve lung function in patients with severe emphysema

September 8 2017



EBV treatment may be an alternative to lung volume reduction surgery. Credit: ATS

People with severe emphysema may breathe better after a minimally invasive procedure that places valves in the airways leading to diseased portions of their lungs, according to a randomized, controlled trial published online in the American Thoracic Society's *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*.

In "A Multicenter RCT of Zephyr Endobronchial Valve Treatment in



Heterogeneous Emphysema (TRANSFORM)," European researchers report results from the first multicenter randomized, controlled trial comparing the therapeutic approach developed by Pulmonx Corp. to standard of care. The one-way Zephyr valve keeps air from entering diseased regions of the <u>lung</u>, allowing healthier regions to expand and function better.

The authors note that previous studies of the valves, which are placed using a bronchoscope, found that for patients with severe emphysema, this minimally invasive therapy represents an alternative to lung volume reduction surgery. Patients undergoing endobronchial valve (EBV) therapy appear to experience similar improvements in lung function, shortness of breath, exercise intolerance and quality of life—without the morbidity and mortality previously associated with surgery.

"EBVs have been shown to work in single center trials, but these studies tend to be performed at centers, and by physicians, with considerable experience, so the results may not be generalizable to other centers," said lead study author Samuel V. Kemp, MD, a respiratory physician and expert in interventional bronchoscopy at Royal Brompton Hospital, in the U.K. "What is interesting about this multicenter trial is that the results are at least as good as the single center studies, even though some of the investigators were new to the technique."

Zephyr valves have been certified for human use in Europe, but are not widely available to patients in all health care systems. The valves have not been approved in the U.S., though a clinical trial of the technology is underway to support an application to the Food and Drug Administration for approval.

The European study enrolled 97 patients from 17 medical centers. The patients were all ex-smokers over the age of 40 who had severe heterogeneous emphysema (emphysema isolated to certain parts of the



lung). Sixty-five were randomly assigned to the EBV arm. On average, they received four valves to cut off diseased portions of their lungs that did not receive collateral ventilation (ventilation of alveoli through passages that bypass the normal airways). The other patients received standard of care based on each medical center's protocols for caring for patients following bronchoscopy.

The researchers found:

- After three months, 55.4 percent of the EBV group had a ? 12 percent improvement (the minimum improvement the authors decided in advance would be clinically significant) in FEV1, the amount of air that can be forcefully exhaled in one second, compared to 6.5 percent of controls.
- After six months, the percentage of those in the EBV group meeting the minimum FEV1 improvement was 56.3 percent, compared to 3.2 percent of controls.
- The average increase in FEV1 in the EBV group was nearly 30 percent.
- After six months, secondary endpoints among those in the EBV group were also clinically and statistically significant, including being able to walk nearly 80 meters longer in six minutes, retaining 750 fewer milliliters of air (residual volume) upon maximum expiratory effort, exhibiting less shortness of breath on the modified Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale and reporting higher quality of life on the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire.
- The most common adverse event in the EBV group was a collapsed lung, which occurred in 29.2 percent of the patients.

After six months, 30 of the 32 participants in the control arm left the study and received EBV therapy. The authors will continue to follow those who received EBVs for up to two years.



"There has been a lot of skepticism about valves, largely owing to poorly designed early trials," Dr. Kemp said. "TRANSFORM proves that EBVs are a safe and effective treatment for appropriately selected patients with severe emphysema.

"For these patients, the benefits of EBVs are far greater than standard medical therapy, so it is important that patients be assessed by a multidisciplinary team to determine if this treatment will help them breathe better."

Dr. Kemp added that for those patients with collateral ventilation, valves are not suitable and <u>patients</u> should be considered for lung volume reduction surgery.

Provided by American Thoracic Society

Citation: Endobronchial valve treatment appears to improve lung function in patients with severe emphysema (2017, September 8) retrieved 6 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2017-09-endobronchial-valve-treatment-lung-function.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.