

Study finds GPs are unwilling to refer patients for bowel cancer checks

September 22 2017, by Emma Mckinney



Credit: University of Birmingham

GPs can be reluctant to refer patients with symptoms of bowel cancer for further investigations, a study by the Universities of Birmingham and Exeter has found.



In 2015 the University of Birmingham led the CREDIBLE study, which investigated the feasibility of using <u>electronic patient records</u> to flag up those aged between 60 and 79 needing urgent referral for symptoms of <u>bowel cancer</u> – also known as <u>colorectal cancer</u>. The symptoms are set out by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines and include persistent diarrhoea, <u>iron deficiency anaemia</u>, rectal bleeding, or a test showing blood in the stool – also known as positive faecal occult blood tests (FOBt).

As part of the CREDIBLE study, the authors interviewed GPs and practice managers at 18 GP practices in Britain to explore attitudinal and contextual influences on GPs in their decisions to refer patients.

Professor Tom Marshall, of the University of Birmingham's Institute of Applied Health Research, said: "Our data indicates that GPs in our study were often reluctant to refer patients for a variety of reasons.

"In some cases, they were aware of pressure to avoid over-referral and wished to avoid being identified as having a high referral rate.

"Some GPs considered that referral would scare patients and weighed this against a low perceived risk of cancer.

"Before referring, some GPs therefore required additional evidence: their own suspicion of cancer, or clinical features such as weight loss, positive FOBt results, or bowel symptoms. NICE red flag symptoms alone were not regarded as sufficient.

"Anaemia was often perceived as common and therefore not always warranting investigation."

He said that due to a lack of familiarity with referral guidelines, some GPs used personally devised decision rules and diagnostic strategies



which hindered further investigation.

From the data, the researchers identified two groups of patients that may be at greater risk of non-referral: minority ethnic groups with anaemia, because GPs sometimes attributed this to diet, and patients with anxiety, which GPs sometimes believed could be exacerbated by a referral.

Professor Marshall added: "Healthcare commissioners should support and encourage GPs to refer patients meeting urgent referral criteria for cancer.

"Further research could test the belief that anaemia is less predictive of colorectal cancer in minority ethnic groups.

"Research also is needed to identify the strategies most likely to promote referral. This could include drawing attention to the need to increase referrals, highlighting practices that refer too few patients and making GPs aware that most symptomatic patients prefer to be investigated. Particular attention may be needed on strategies to increase referral thresholds for overly-anxious <u>patients</u>."

Professor Willie Hamilton, at the University of Exeter Medical School, a practicing GP, said: "Britain still has a much higher rate of cancer deaths than the rest of Europe, and this paper is important in identifying areas where the GP can get it wrong, so we can identify opportunities to do better. This is essential as early diagnosis saves lives. However, it's important to note that we are making progress - UK <u>cancer</u> survival figures are continually improving."

More information: Elaine Kidney et al. Cancer suspicion in general practice, urgent referral, and time to diagnosis: a population-based GP survey nested within a feasibility study using information technology to flag-up patients with symptoms of colorectal cancer, *BJGP Open* (2017).



DOI: 10.3399/bjgpopen17X101109

Provided by University of Birmingham

Citation: Study finds GPs are unwilling to refer patients for bowel cancer checks (2017, September 22) retrieved 24 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2017-09-gps-unwilling-patients-bowel-cancer.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.