

Anti-vaxxer effect on vaccination rates is exaggerated

March 13 2018, by Samantha Vanderslott



Anti-vaxxers protesting in Melbourne, Australia. Credit: Flickr, CC BY-NC

People who oppose vaccination – the so-called "anti-vaxxers" – are often thought to be the reason for low vaccination rates. The truth is, anti-vaxxers don't wholly explain low vaccination rates. The influence of the



movement is often exaggerated and does not properly explain a complex situation.

Two main reasons point to why people who have anti-vaccine beliefs and attitudes are not the sole cause of low vaccination:

1. Anti-vaxxers are in the minority

The impression of most people is that this group is <u>bigger than they</u> <u>actually are</u> when in fact the number of people explicitly not vaccinating tends to be very small. In the US <u>vaccine refusal is at 2%</u>. A similar rate is found in Europe, and these numbers have remained stable over time. We fear anti-vaxxers may influence others. But looking at sharp drops in vaccinations over time and across populations these have been connected with a particular <u>controversy</u>, <u>event</u>, <u>or change of political environment</u> not usually directly anti-vaccination in nature.

For example a proportion of opposition against the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine is attached to moral concerns. Some parents have felt a vaccine against a virus that can be sexually transmitted is <u>inappropriate for children</u>. And as historian <u>Elena Conis has shown</u>, even concerns about a possible link between vaccines and autism were already brewing for years before the famous <u>Wakefield scandal</u>, often coming from the autism community itself.

It is hard to find a powerful anti-vaxx group or movement today that has had a substantial impact, although one small example is the 2017 outbreak of measles in Minnesota where a Somali community is reported to have been targeted by anti-vaxxers who saw potential to influence this group using fears of the MMR vaccine and autism.

2. Beliefs don't translate into actions



Just because a person has concerns over vaccination or says they do not want to vaccinate does not mean they follow up with action. Psychologists call this the <u>attitude-behaviour gap</u> or <u>belief-behaviour gap</u>. In surveys measuring vaccine scepticism, high scepticism is rarely matched by low vaccination rates. Ukraine is an <u>unfortunate exception</u> with a high level of scepticism of vaccines is matched by low vaccination rates.

Explanation for this attitude-behaviour mismatch varies from social pressure to repercussions for not vaccinating. For child vaccination, usually both parents have to oppose vaccination, although in some countries such as the US it is typically mothers who make health decisions. Disagreements between parents have resulted in high profile court cases ruling in favour of the pro-vaccination parent. Penalties are also imposed by governments on parents not vaccinating their children, including preventing school entry in the US or stopping welfare payments in Australia.

Allocating blame

If anti-vaxxers do not provide the full explanation for falls in vaccination rates, what leads to the spotlight on them? While mainstream media is generally pro-vaccine, anti-vaxxers make a good story. The coverage and attention given to this group is disproportionately high compared to the actual impact they have.

Less blame is extended to parents who delay vaccination or pick and choose the vaccines they want. These "hesitant" parents make up a larger group, as one <u>Canadian study</u> found. The portrayal of vaccination views as just "pro" or "anti" undermines more nuanced depictions, such as of hesitant parents, and can be detrimental on a number of fronts.

The undue significance and greater profile given to anti-vaxxers makes



them appear to be a bigger problem than they actually are. Presenting their views as more widespread leads to "false balance" in the media and increases polarisation between those that support and oppose vaccination.

False balance, according to philosopher Peter Ellerton gives an equal platform to views that do not have an equal weight of evidence supporting them, simply for the sake of appearing balanced. Related to this, <u>polarisation</u> splits the debate into those who support an issue and those who oppose it. Not much room is left for a variety of opinions in between. This makes it difficult for each side to find common ground or indeed any basis for discussion.

Hidden issues

The serious downside of so much attention paid to anti-vaxxers is that other issues remain hidden. What about the other reasons people don't vaccinate? Less popular topics include those of <u>poverty and exclusion</u>, along with inadequate supply of and access to vaccine services, both on a global and a local level. However, the simple anti-vaxxer explanation is often preferred to a consideration of these more complex issues.

At the global level, the vaccination debates, activities, and decisions of dominant countries with greater spending power and political clout can impact poorer countries. This impact is underexplored. For example, Japan suspended their recommendation for the HPV vaccine in 2013. It ignited public concerns about the safety of the vaccine in nearby countries such as the Philippines and even more distant countries like Kenya, where there exists a persistent fear about the government introducing fertility controls through health interventions.

These issues of disparity between resources and influence can also be missed at a local level. Economically deprived areas, where it is more



difficult to get advice on child health and vaccination services also explain some lower rates or unvaccinated pockets. This is especially true for minority groups (such as Roma people in Romania).

This doesn't mean anti-vaxxers are harmless or that herd immunity isn't important. People who are suspicious of vaccines, and openly tout their views, can be very harmful to public health. Rather we need to be wary of creating strawmen that don't help in addressing the multiple problems that can cause low vaccination rates. Journalists and public health experts aim to engage people with a topic that has many different aspects. Some are attempting to move beyond an easier, emotional narrative but these sorts of accounts, which tend to be longer and more investigative, are few and far between.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Anti-vaxxer effect on vaccination rates is exaggerated (2018, March 13) retrieved 19 April 2024 from

https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-03-anti-vaxxer-effect-vaccination-exaggerated.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.