

Peer rejection isn't the culprit behind school shootings

May 24 2018, by Jennifer Watling Neal



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Whenever a school shooting takes place, the focus often turns to the social life of the shooters, and people conclude that they suffered from some type of peer rejection or victimization.

For example, in the latest school shooting, reports have surfaced that



Dimitrios Pagourtzis, the 17-year old school shooter in Santa Fe, Texas, may have experienced a form of peer rejection. Specifically, in the weeks prior to the <u>shooting</u>, one of his victims, Shana Fisher, publicly rejected his romantic advances in front of peers.

The well-known narrative that links <u>school shootings</u> and peer rejection has led to much soul-searching about whether school shootings could be prevented if peers were simply nicer or school climate were improved. But is the answer really this simple?

Peer rejection is a problem

Peer rejection refers to a range of problems that include being disliked and victimized by or isolated from peers. Developmental psychologists have amassed <u>decades of research</u> on peer rejection and its consequences. Those consequences include <u>depression</u>, <u>loneliness</u>, <u>aggressive behaviors</u> and <u>academic problems</u>.

Also, there is some evidence that peer rejection might lead to a <u>vicious</u> <u>cycle</u> in which kids who are rejected by peers exhibit problematic behaviors like aggression, which in turn lead to even more peer rejection.

All this is to say that peer rejection is linked to some negative outcomes in kids and it is important to prevent it. However, there are a couple of reasons why peer rejection is likely not the culprit behind school shootings.

A false link?

First, as many who have experienced the pains of growing up can verify, peer rejection is a relatively common experience. It is not unusual for developmental studies to find that <u>close to 25 percent</u> of kids have



experienced it at some point. Despite the commonality of peer rejection, school shootings in the U.S. are still <u>rare occurrences</u>. And even though it may not seem like it, school shootings have been mostly <u>declining</u> since the 1990s.

Additionally, peer rejection is a recognized problem that is studied across countries, including <u>Canada</u>, <u>Netherlands</u> and <u>China</u>. The numbers of school shootings in these countries are <u>negligible to non-existent</u>. If peer rejection really was the culprit for school shootings, school shootings would be more common both in the U.S. and around the world.

Second, claims of peer rejection among school shooters are often contested. For example, a book on the Columbine school shooting claimed that the shooters were not bullied and isolated as initially described immediately following the event. Likewise, although Parkland shooter, Nikolas Cruz, was described as isolated, reports of classmates suggest that they tried to befriend him. In a more systematic attempt to link the phenomenon of school shootings with peer rejection, researchers conducted a case study of 15 U.S.-based school shootings. Although they found that shooters experienced some form of peer rejection in over 85 percent of the cases, this was accompanied by a range of additional risk factors. Those risk factors included a fascination with guns and psychological problems like depression. Therefore, while peer rejection might be common among shooters, it is not sufficient in itself to cause school shootings.

A more complex explanation

In reality, the cause of school shootings is likely far more complex than a simple case of a shooter being rejected by peers. Specifically, while peer rejection might be part of the profile of many school shooters, it is important to think about the range of environmental and individual



factors that might contribute to school shootings.

<u>Ecological frameworks</u> offer a promising approach for highlighting the factors at these multiple levels that might be related to school shootings.

First, at the individual level, it is important to consider risk factors for school shootings. Those risk factors include things like depression and prior antisocial behavior.

Next, it is important to consider the immediate environments that surround school shooters and their interactions. It is here where <u>peer rejection</u> comes into play – but relationships with teachers, family members, and the broader community are also critical.

Finally, it is important to consider local, state and federal policies that influence the availability of firearms, as well as broad cultural attitudes toward the use of firearms. It is likely that these factors help explain school shootings. For this reason, any solution to school shootings must involve an approach that takes a range of factors at multiple levels into account.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Peer rejection isn't the culprit behind school shootings (2018, May 24) retrieved 25 June 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-05-peer-isnt-culprit-school.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.