

Study shows leadless pacemaker patients experience less complications

June 26 2018



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Patients receiving leadless pacemakers experience overall fewer shortterm and mid-term complications than those receiving traditional transvenous pacemakers, a Cleveland Clinic-led research study found.



The study was published today in the journal *Heart Rhythm*.

Approximately one million pacemakers are implanted annually, providing electrical stimulation to regulate a patient's heartbeat.

Conventional pacemakers are surgically placed under the skin of a patient's chest, with wires, or leads, stretching from the shoulder vein and attaching to the heart. These wires and the surgical implantation are the most common source of complications, occurring in up to 12 percent of device recipients, according to previous research.

Leadless pacemakers, by contrast, do not need wires. The small self-contained devices—about 10 percent of the size of a traditional pacemaker—are placed directly into the heart using a catheter passed through the femoral vein in the leg. Leadless pacemakers were introduced in 2014, and the first leadless pacemaker was approved by the FDA in 2016.

The new multi-center study compared short- and mid-term complications between 718 patients receiving the Nanostim leadless pacemaker and 1,436 patients with conventional (transvenous) pacemakers. Leadless pacemaker patient data was taken from the LEADLESS II trial, a prospective, nonrandomized, multicenter clinical trial. Transvenous patient data were obtained from Truven Health MarketScan claims databases for patients implanted with single-chamber pacemakers between April 2010 and March 2014 and more than 1 year of pre-implant enrollment data. Statistical methods were used to match patients between the two groups to compare the outcomes of a leadless vs. traditional pacemaker with other key clinical variables being equal.

At one month, the study found that patients receiving one type of leadless pacemaker (Nanostim) overall had fewer complications (5.8 percent vs. 9.4 percent). Leadless pacemakers completely eliminated



lead and pocket complications, including infection. By comparison, complications among traditional pacemaker recipients included lead complications (3.62 percent), pocket complications (0.42 percent) and infection (1.74 percent). There were no significant differences between the groups in regard to rates of vascular complications, electrode dislodgement and generator complications.

However, the study did find that those receiving leadless pacemakers had an increased risk of developing pericardial effusion—bleeding between the heart and the sac that surrounds the heart (1.53 percent vs. 0.35 percent). These complications were uncommon but serious, and sometimes required surgery.

Beyond one month and up to 18 months of follow-up, leadless patients continued to experience overall fewer complications than transvenous patients (0.56 percent vs. 4.94 percent). In the conventional pacemaker group, there were a number of complications wholly absent from the leadless group, including lead-related complications, electrode dislodgement, infection and pocket complications.

"The data from this study is encouraging, and we expect <u>complications</u> from leadless pacemakers to continue to decline as the technology improves and physicians gain experience implanting these devices," said Daniel Cantillon, M.D., research director for Cardiac Electrophysiology and Pacing at Cleveland Clinic and lead author of the study. Dr. Cantillon is a consultant for Abbott and Boston Scientific. "While this research shows benefit for leadless pacing, we must keep in mind that the field is still too young to compare the long-term results of this technology, the implications of which will not be fully understood for at least another decade."

Provided by Cleveland Clinic



Citation: Study shows leadless pacemaker patients experience less complications (2018, June 26) retrieved 27 April 2024 from

https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-06-leadless-pacemaker-patients-complications.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.