

Locusts help uncover the mysteries of smell

August 3 2018



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Understanding how a sensory input becomes an experience—how molecules released by a blooming flower, for instance, become the internal experience of smelling a rose—has for millennia been a central question of philosophy.



In more recent times, it has also been a question for scientists. One way to approach it is to understand the physical brain processes behind sensory experiences. Historically, scientists have proposed different ways to describe what is happening by positing that a certain set of neurons must fire; a certain sequence of firing that must occur; or a combination of the two.

But according to a research team from the School of Engineering & Applied Science at Washington University in St. Louis, these descriptions do not account for the variability of the real world. Smells do not occur in a vacuum. The team wanted to find out what happened when <u>sensory input</u> was presented in sequences, more akin to what happens in the real world.

They turned to locusts.

In a paper slated for publication in *Nature Communications*, researchers found that in locusts, only a subset of neurons associated with a particular scent would fire when that scent was presented in a dynamic environment that included other scents. Although there was not a one-to-one relationship between a pattern of neurons activated and a specific smell, the researchers were able to determine how the locusts could still recognize a scent; it comes down to the <u>locust</u> being flexible in its interpretation.

"There is variability because of stimulus history," said Barani Raman, associate professor of biomedical engineering, "so flexibility is necessary to compensate."

For the experiments, the team of Washington University engineers, which included Raman, graduate research assistants Srinath Nizampatnam and Rishabh Chandak, and Debajit Saha, a postdoctoral research fellow, first had to train the locusts in the same way one might



train a dog, namely, Pavlov's dog. A machine administered a puff of the target scent, hexanol, to hungry locusts, then rewarded the locusts with a treat: grass. After enough rounds (usually six), the locusts would open up palps—small organs outside of their mouths that function in a similar way to lips or tongues in humans—after they smelled hexanol, in anticipation of the grass.

Once the locusts were trained, the testing began. The locusts were exposed to the "target" odor, hexanol either on its own, or after the introduction of a different scent, called a "distractor."

Each time the target odor was introduced on its own, a locust's neural activity was the same. But when the locusts were exposed to a distractor smell first, different combinations of neurons fired when the locusts were subsequently exposed to the target.

This is the variability based on context. What has been previously smelled (and even unrelated brain states, such as hunger) can affect how a brain reacts to the same input. If that were the end of it, though, smells would rarely, if ever, be recognizable.

Imagine entering a coffee shop and buying a freshly baked chocolate chip cookie. As you bring it to your mouth, you inhale and smell that comforting, chocolate chip cookie smell. The next day, you head to a tea shop. Another batch of freshly baked cookies calls your name. If variability (induced by prior exposure to tea or coffee) alone determined how smells are processed, the scent of tea shop cookie, wafting into your nose after a strong Earl Grey, couldn't possibly smell the same as it did after you caught a whiff of Sumatra at the coffee shop.

But just as humans recognize the smell of a chocolate chip cookie in either setting, the locusts recognized the target—even though their neurons were firing in a variety of different ways—as evidenced by their



palps, which opened as per their conditioning.

So there had to be more to the story than variability when it came to recognizing smells. The team wanted to know if there was a pattern, or a way to discern, via brain activity, how the locusts were smelling the target odorant despite the variability in brain activity.

As it turned out, there is a way. "The rules are very simple," Raman said. "An OR-of-ANDs logical operation was sufficient to compensate for variability and allow flexible decoding."

Think of an "ideal" chair: it has four legs, a seat, two armrests, and back support. If you only recognized a chair with all of these, and only these, attributes, you would miss out on a lot of good chairs—those on a pedestal, those without armrests, etc. To be able to generalize, there needs to be some flexibility in what's recognized as a chair. One simple way is to allow any object that has any two or three out of the four features usually associated with chair, if present, to be recognized as a chair.

The OR-of-ANDs logical operation for recognizing chair might be [four legs AND seat] OR [seat AND back support]. In the same way, locusts show a fixed pattern of <u>brain activity</u> when smelling the target odorant alone, but only some flexible combination involving just some of those same neurons will fire when smelling the target after smelling, say, an apple.

What subset of neurons that fire depends, in large part, on what the distractor smell is; the neurons that are activated by the target alone will continue to fire, but those that are in common to both the distractor and the target will either not be activated or their activity will be reduced.

In this way, the uniqueness of neural response to the target odorant is



enhanced. Like perfume after a whiff of coffee, if the target odorant shared few <u>neurons</u> with the distractor, the cross-talk between the smells was less and the history/context is reset.

Going forward, the team plans to see if its results hold in another organism: the fruit fly. The researchers also will investigate how other sources of variability such as short-term memory might affect how smells are perceived. There is, of course, another organism of interest: humans.

The main inspiration for this research was the use of coffee beans to clear the olfactory pallet, so to speak, in perfume shops.

"There, we use coffee beans to enhance the way we smell the next perfume," Raman said. "We cannot say for sure if this is exactly how other olfactory systems perform the same computation, but we expect some of the computational principles revealed by our study to be general."

More information: Srinath Nizampatnam et al, Dynamic contrast enhancement and flexible odor codes, *Nature Communications* (2018). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-05533-6

Provided by Washington University in St. Louis

Citation: Locusts help uncover the mysteries of smell (2018, August 3) retrieved 10 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-08-locusts-uncover-mysteries.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.