


# Breast cancer screening does not reduce mortality

September 13 2018

---



Three-dimensional culture of human breast cancer cells, with DNA stained blue and a protein in the cell surface membrane stained green. Image created in 2014 by Tom Misteli, Ph.D., and Karen Meaburn, Ph.D. at the NIH IRP.

Fewer women are dying from breast cancer in recent years, but surprisingly, this decline is just as large in the age groups that are not screened. The decline is therefore due to better breast cancer treatment and not attributable to screening. This is shown by a major Danish-Norwegian study titled "Effect of organised mammography screening on breast cancer mortality: A populationbased cohort study in Norway," which has just been published in the *International Journal of Cancer*.

In the study, the researchers followed all Norwegian women aged 30-89 and identified those who developed [breast cancer](#) in the period 1987-2010, before subsequently comparing the number of deaths before and after the [screening](#) programme was introduced.

Associate Professor Henrik Støvring from Aarhus University, Denmark, notes that the result does not favour the breast cancer screening programme. This conclusion can also be transferred directly to Denmark (and elsewhere), where all women aged 50-69 are offered [mammography screening](#) involving an X-ray examination of the chest every second year. The Danish screening programme was progressively introduced from the early 1990s and was offered nationally to everyone from 2007, three years after the Norwegians, who have supplied data for the Danish-Norwegian research project.

"The important result is that we do not find a beneficial effect of breast cancer screening any longer. The original randomised trials examining [breast cancer screening](#) were conducted way back in the 1980s, and they showed an effect, but the fact is that the better the treatment methods become, the less benefit screening has," says Henrik Støvring, associate professor at the Department of Public Health with biostatistics and screening programmes as his particular areas of expertise.

Here, he points toward one of the paradoxes of screening—the popular but erroneous belief that if breast cancer patients who have been

screened live longer than other breast cancer patients, then screening works. The problem is that with screening, medical doctors detect cancerous tumours earlier than they would otherwise have done, and thus move the point of diagnosis forward in time. But even if someone who has been screened lives longer as a patient, it is not certain that their life as a whole will be longer. It is important to account for this fact, and the new study shows that screening does not lead to women living longer overall—and this is the study's most important finding.

"The women who are invited to screening live longer because all [breast cancer patients](#) live longer, and they do so because we now have better drugs and more effective chemotherapy, and because we have cancer care pathways, which means the healthcare system reacts faster than it did a decade ago. But it does not appear that fewer women die of breast cancer as a result of mammography screening," says Henrik Støvring.

He also points out that it is not always beneficial for a woman to be diagnosed with a tiny cancerous growth of a millimetre in diameter at a mammography, for instance. Some of these small nodules are so slow-growing that the woman would have died a so-called natural death with undiagnosed cancer if she had not been screened.

"Now, what happens is these women are instead given a diagnosis that isn't going to make anyone happier. Such a [breast](#) cancer diagnosis makes life more difficult and costs a lot of money, but does not ultimately make a difference. The problem is that we are not currently able to tell the difference between the small [cancer](#) tumours that will kill you and those that will not," says Henrik Støvring.

Here, he addresses the issue of overdiagnosis, which is a growing problem in all Western countries where the approach to medicine and examinations is extensive and where national screening programmes are prevalent. The problem was discussed last week in Copenhagen,

Denmark, where 450 researchers from 30 countries attended the Preventing Overdiagnosis 2018 conference.

Even though the research results challenge the current health policy in Denmark, Norway and the rest of the Western world, Henrik Støvring is not in the business of telling Danish politicians that they should stop the national screening programme here and now: "It's certainly not my task to decide how the research results should be used, but my suggestion would nonetheless be that we should get together and begin to investigate whether it would be beneficial to do something other than screening and whether this could have a better effect. If a doctor could instead examine women's breasts via hand palpation at regular intervals, then we would avoid much of the overdiagnosis," says Henrik Støvring.

**More information:** Mette H. Møller et al, Effect of organised mammography screening on breast cancer mortality: A population-based cohort study in Norway, *International Journal of Cancer* (2018). [DOI: 10.1002/ijc.31832](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31832)

Provided by Aarhus University

Citation: Breast cancer screening does not reduce mortality (2018, September 13) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-09-breast-cancer-screening-mortality.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--