

Seattle bike share programs show infrequent helmet use, little disparity in access to bikes among neighborhoods

January 15 2019, by Jackson Holtz



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

People riding free-floating bike share rentals in Seattle are wearing helmets infrequently, according to a new analysis conducted by



University of Washington researchers. Only 20 percent of bike share riders were helmets in the study, while more than 90 percent of cyclists were helmets while riding their own bikes.

Different research on the free-floating bike share systems showed that bikes were usually available in all Seattle neighborhoods across economic, racial and ethnic lines. However, more bikes were located in more-advantaged neighborhoods.

"There's nowhere that was systematically ignored during the first six months of the program," said Stephen J. Mooney, an epidemiologist at the Harborview Injury Prevention & Research Center and professor at the UW's School of Public Health. He's the lead author of both studies.

The helmet study shows that bike share riders may be adopting a casual approach to cycling that doesn't include helmet usage.

"What we're concerned about is: What are the implications of casual riders not wearing helmets?" Mooney said. "What's the risk for them and for other people?"

Researchers counted the number of cyclists—noting helmet use -at four strategic locations around Seattle: the Fremont bridge, the Burke-Gilman Trail, Broadway Bike Lane and NW 58th Street at 22nd Avenue NW. Researchers found that only one in five people riding bike shares wore helmets.

So far, researchers haven't seen an increase in <u>head injuries</u> among free-floating bike share riders, but they're watching. It's important to observe and better understand rider behavior in addition to looking at crash data, Mooney said. The ways people ride free-floating bikes, and where they ride them, and how they fall from them when they crash may lead to a very different risk profile than that of private bike riders. Still, an



overwhelming amount of research shows that wearing a helmet helps to prevent serious head injury in a bike crash.

The researchers observed fewer cyclists wearing helmets—both on private bikes and on bike share rentals—in areas where there were lots of bike shares.

The research finding may be an indication that the adoption of freefloating bike shares may support more casual use, different from commuting or training, in which helmet use is less part of the culture.

That's troubling, but not yet cause to take action, Mooney said.

"There's a lot of nuance in bike safety—we know that helmets protect heads, but we also know that places where more riders cluster usually have lower risk per rider. Obviously, we'd love to see more riders with helmets. But we recognize that not everyone wants to lug a helmet around just in case they decide to ride casually later in the day. So we're monitoring both ridership and injuries," he said.

Other studies have found similarly low statistics of bike helmet use in other bike share systems, with about 15 percent helmet use in New York and about 39 percent in Boston compared with Seattle's estimated 20 percent use of helmets. However, Vancouver's system, Mobi, provides helmets and boosts usage there as much as three times Seattle, at 64 percent.

The helmet study, published last month in the *Journal of Community Health*, was co-authored by Bella Lee and Allyson O'Connor of the UW. Funding was provided by a grant from the Eunice Kennedy Shiver National Institute for Child Health and Human Development.

In a separate study, published recently in the Journal of Transport



Geography, Mooney again looked at bike share programs. This time the research team wanted to see if the benefits of bike share—such as improved health and reduced travel times—were available to all neighborhoods regardless of economic status, race and ethnicity.

"Free-floating bikes went everywhere. Pretty much everyone has some access," Mooney said.

Prior studies showed that docked bike share systems, which are geographically constrained by station locations, tended to favor advantaged neighborhoods. There were not stark differences in availability of the free-floating systems, the research found.

Researchers used the aggregate data collected from all the free-floating bike share operators in Seattle and combined that with neighborhood based census data. While the distribution of bike shares was broad, they reported, there was a higher concentration of the rentals in more affluent areas of the city.

"If you look a little bit deeper, there is some inequity in where the bikes go," Mooney said. "But bikes were usually available throughout the city."

More information: Stephen J. Mooney et al, Free-Floating Bikeshare and Helmet Use in Seattle, WA, *Journal of Community Health* (2018). DOI: 10.1007/s10900-018-00599-1

Provided by University of Washington

Citation: Seattle bike share programs show infrequent helmet use, little disparity in access to bikes among neighborhoods (2019, January 15) retrieved 19 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-01-seattle-bike-infrequent-helmet-disparity.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.