

## Interval training may shed more pounds than continuous moderate intensity workout

February 14 2019



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Interval training may shed more pounds than a continuous moderate intensity workout, suggests a pooled analysis of the available evidence, published online in the *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.



And <u>sprint interval training</u> may be most effective for <u>weight</u> loss, the analysis indicates, although the breadth of <u>training</u> programmes studied makes it difficult to recommend one particular approach over another, caution the researchers.

Most physical activity guidelines recommend a high volume of exercise for weight loss, equivalent to an hour or more every day (420 minutes+/week). But few people can carve out the time needed to meet this recommendation, say the researchers.

They wanted to find out if interval training might match a continuous moderate intensity workout for overall weight loss (total absolute fat mass) and reductions in percentage body fat-the percentage of fat that makes up <u>body weight</u>-despite taking less time to do.

Interval training describes intermittent intense effort, interspersed with recovery periods. The two most common types are <u>high intensity interval</u> <u>training</u>, or HIIT for short, which includes various exercises; and sprint interval training, which includes running, jogging, speed walking, and cycling.

So they searched research databases for relevant studies that directly or indirectly compared interval training with continuous moderate intensity exercise over a period of at least four weeks.

The data from 41 studies involving 1115 people were combined for thematic analysis and the results data from 36 studies involving 1012 people were pooled.

Both interval training and a continuous workout reduced overall weight and percentage body fat, irrespective of starting weight or gender, the findings showed.



But while there was no <u>significant difference</u> in percentage body fat reduction between the two approaches, there was a significant difference in the amount of weight lost, with interval training proving the more effective method.

Interval training provided a 28.5 per cent greater reduction in weight, overall (1.58 kg vs 1.13 kg).

Further analysis, comparing sprint interval training with a continuous moderate intensity workout, revealed an even larger difference in weight loss.

Factors such as supervision; age under 30; walking, running, and jogging; study quality; and studies lasting more than 12 weeks all influenced <u>weight loss</u> in the interval training programmes.

"It is important to be aware of the possible risks and caveats associated with higher intensity training," the researchers point out. "For example, it might increase the risk of injury and impose higher cardiovascular stress. Adherence should also be examined as higher intensity protocols can result in higher discomfort."

And before anyone decides to take up sprint interval training as the most effective exercise for losing weight, the researchers sound a note of caution.

The wide variety of different <u>interval training</u> programmes included in their analysis "makes it difficult to generally recommend that one particular protocol is 'best' for modulating body adiposity," they conclude.

**More information:** Review: Is interval training the magic bullet for fat loss? A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing moderate



intensity continuous training with high intensity interval training (HIIT), *British Journal of Sports Medicine* (2019). DOI: 10.1136/bjsorts-2018-099928

## Provided by British Medical Journal

Citation: Interval training may shed more pounds than continuous moderate intensity workout (2019, February 14) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-02-interval-pounds-moderate-intensity-workout.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-02-interval-pounds-moderate-intensity-workout.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.