

## History professor traces the rise of psychiatric drugs

June 18 2019, by Jed Gottlieb



Anne Harrington discusses the treatment of mental disorders from its early years to present day in her book "Mind Fixers: Psychiatry's Troubled Search for the Biology of Mental Illness." Credit: Stephanie Mitchell/Harvard Staff Photographer

Franklin L. Ford Professor of the History of Science and director of



undergraduate studies, Anne Harrington, has watched the field of mental health evolve over decades, and its struggles to reach a consensus on basics such as the root causes of and best treatments for mental illnesses. Since the days of Sigmund Freud, various factions of psychiatry have battled to define the discipline.

In her latest book, "Mind Fixers: Psychiatry's Troubled Search for the Biology of Mental Illness," Harrington chronicles the fall of the Freudians and the rise of biological psychiatrists, and with them the explosion of Prozac, Xanax, and Big Pharma's pioneering technologies to map the brain. What never arrived: the results biological psychiatrists had promised.

Harrington spoke to the Gazette about the stumbles, the Prozac revolution, and how her own students provided the impetus to write the book.

### Q&A

### **Anne Harrington**

GAZETTE: The dedication in "Mind Fixers" mentions that Harvard students' questions inspired you to write on this topic. How did your students conceptualize mental illness?

HARRINGTON: I was persuaded some years ago to develop and teach a general education course called "Madness and Medicine." I've taught it now for well over 10 years, and very few of the students who come into that course think they're particularly interested in history, but a lot of them have a strong interest in the world of mental health care. Maybe it's because of <u>family members</u>, or because they just live in a world where



many people use antidepressants, everyone suffers from anxiety. They live in a world where mental health concerns are ubiquitous and every single one of them has never known a world in which the approach to thinking about these problems isn't biological. They're also growing up with messaging that tells them there's a lot to distrust when it comes to psychiatry and that Big Pharma is out to trick us all.

### GAZETTE: So you wanted to correct your students' polarized view of mental illness and psychiatry?

HARRINGTON: I wanted to arm them with a way of making sense of their own world. Over the years teaching "Madness and Medicine," I came to believe I wasn't doing justice to the specific story of how, why, and when we pivoted so dramatically toward the biological. I couldn't find good answers in the literature and I didn't completely understand the pivot myself. "Mind Fixers" is my effort to make sense of this for my own scholarly reasons but, above all, to make sense of it for my students and people like my students.

### GAZETTE: How did this swing to a biological model happen so quickly in the 1990s?

HARRINGTON: Freudian psychiatrists who came of age during the Second World War were able to convince government, the VA system, and large numbers of medical schools that they had the future in their bones and they, not the biological psychiatrists, were best suited to deal with the postwar challenges of mental health. These challenges were largely seen as political problems. How do we deal with forces that might fray the fabric of democracy? How do we deal with delinquency? How do we deal with prejudice? How do we deal with the crisis of masculinity and homosexuality and all these things that will make us less suited to maintain our strength in the nuclear age? So the Freudian



psychiatrists came to ascendancy, were hugely ambitious, and largely set the direction for research for the next generation.

GAZETTE: But as "Mind Fixers" points out, the Freudians couldn't deliver on their promise of revolutionizing mental health. Is this what helped swing the pendulum dramatically back toward a biological reading of mental illness?

HARRINGTON: There was a series of specific debacles that were hugely embarrassing for the profession. One concerned the decision to remove homosexuality from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM] in the 1970s by vote. If people could vote diseases in and out, some wondered what kind of medical profession this was. There was a very high-profile article in the 1970s that appeared in Science called "On Being Sane in Insane Places" that basically involved a social scientist sending stooges into hospitals and making up symptoms that had never been described in any kind of diagnostic manual, and all of them were admitted to the hospitals. The minute they were admitted, they started acting completely normal but some of them were in for weeks and weeks. It was hugely embarrassing not to be able to determine between sane and insane people. Then insurance companies started asking why we should make reimbursements if psychiatrists can't tell who is sick and who isn't.

# GAZETTE: It sounds like opportunity played the huge role in biological psychologists reasserting their influence. What kind of promises did they make?

HARRINGTON: They said, "The Freudians brought the profession to the brink of professional suicide and we biological psychiatrists need to



get things back to brass tacks." In a sense they came in on a platform of common sense and said, "Of course mental illnesses are real. Of course psychiatry is a medical profession. Of course diagnostics are important, and we need to be doing research into the biological basis of mental illness." The hope was that firm understanding of specific mental disorders would quickly emerge, once they had the support they wanted, but, over and over again, the hope was disappointed. There have been lots of cool findings, but they have varied across studies and proved hard to replicate and interpret. And it has been more difficult than people thought to apply them in any practical way to on-the-ground clinical work.

This story is published courtesy of the Harvard Gazette, Harvard University's official newspaper. For additional university news, visit Harvard.edu.

#### Provided by Harvard University

Citation: History professor traces the rise of psychiatric drugs (2019, June 18) retrieved 26 June 2024 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-06-history-professor-psychiatric-drugs.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-06-history-professor-psychiatric-drugs.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.