

Sharing fitness data with insurance companies

July 8 2019, by Dr. Sven Tuzovic



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

From the Fitbit to Apple's smartwatch, wearable tech is becoming [increasingly popular across the globe](#). Compared to other nations like US, which has seen higher adoption of fitness trackers, uptake in Australia is still [less than 10%](#) in 2019. But news reports indicate that Australians are taking to fitness monitoring [more than ever before](#).

And wearable devices are not only being embraced by consumers, but also across [insurance](#) industries. Health and life insurance companies collect data from fitness trackers with the goal of improving business decisions.

Currently, these business models work as a "carrot" incentive. That means consumers can benefit from [discounts and cheaper premiums](#) if they are willing to share their Fitbit data.

But we could see voluntary participation become mandatory, shifting the incentive from carrot to stick. John Hancock, one of the largest [life insurance companies](#) in the United States, has added fitness tracking with wearable devices to [all of its policies](#). Though customers can opt out of the program, some industry experts [argue](#) that this "raises [ethical questions](#) around privacy and equality in leaving the traditional life insurance model behind".

Is this the beginning of a major trend? And, more importantly, how do consumers feel about being "persuaded" into fitness tracker-based insurance policies?

In a [study](#) conducted with my colleague Professor Stefanie Paluch at RWTH University in Germany, we investigated people's perceptions of fitness tracker-based insurance policies.

What are the concerns?

Unfair price discrimination

People are concerned that the data monitoring will lead to personal disadvantages. They don't want to be penalized with higher insurance rates if they stop using the fitness tracker. One Fitbit user said: "The problem lies in the disadvantage if I don't wear it."

Data protection

Consumers are concerned about how insurance firms will access, use, and store their fitness data. One person said: "I find it very important to have strict regulations, especially in these times, when [personal data](#) is collected and stored everywhere, but can also be shared, exchanged, sold and passed on to third parties, to those I don't want [...] to have my data."

Privacy

People have a wide range of privacy concerns. In general, they fear becoming "observable", "transparent", "predictable", "easy to manipulate" and "rated" by the insurance provider. One user said: "The risk is that you will become so transparent and [...] institutions will know about you, that is actually not their right at all, only on the basis that they somehow want to make a profit [...] it's none of their business."

How to reduce the risks?

Our study shows that the strongest opposition is based on people's fear of unfair and exploitative behaviour of commercial data users, in particular switching from voluntary to mandatory enrolment. To avoid backlash, insurance firms should follow the following four recommendations.

1. Understand your customer

Insurance firms need to first segment their customer base to better understand consumer lifestyles and motivations to use a fitness tracker. While some consumers have a personal affinity towards self-tracking,

other individuals will not change their habits. One user said: "I am not sure how this helps? If you lie on the sofa for 12 hours a day with a fitness tracker. Do you see a result? No! The insurance cannot force you to move your ass. Normally only people who are active already and do sports regularly, they wear such trackers."

2. Provide value

People wish to receive a benefit for their participation. This ranges from financial value (discounts, lower premium) to functional value, such as the quality of the device (design features, ease of use), as well as its reliability and accuracy in tracking data. "I like sports, and fitness trackers are important to me. As I said, to follow my progress, and if I can get them cheap, then I find that very positive.

"The tracker itself must be good. [...] I have to have a feeling that I really benefit from it."

3. Be transparent

In order to reduce privacy concerns, insurance firms need to offer transparent and fully informative privacy policies and should more clearly educate and inform users about the terms and conditions. One Fitbit user said: "...if a little more is published [about] what happens with our data, [...] one can inform oneself about it [...] Perhaps general education in schools or so would be desirable, too."

For me, the personal contact is important, because if someone explains that to me, then I will trust this person, and then I do not think that he/she will try to conceal any things [...]. Once this has been explained to me, then I'm ok with it.

4. Give customers control

People are more willing to participate in fitness tracker-based insurance policies when they are in control of their participation. Greater empowerment increases their perceived self-determination and acceptance. Thus, participation should remain voluntary and be flexible regarding the timeframe. Two respondents said:

- "Well, if they [[fitness](#) trackers] are on a voluntary basis [...] then I would use them."
- "That I can choose this bonus program myself, that I can choose the different functions from it and that I can resign at any time without any financial, health or insurance disadvantages."

As the sharing of personal biometric data increases further, we hope that our findings will contribute to an emerging public policy and legal debate about the practice.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Sharing fitness data with insurance companies (2019, July 8) retrieved 4 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-07-companies.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.