

## Mayo Clinic studies patient privacy in MRI research

October 24 2019



Mayo Clinic researchers have found it is possible to use commercial facial recognition software to identify people from brain MRI that includes facial imagery, despite steps that researchers typically take to protect patient privacy. This figure shows photos of four participants (top two rows) and corresponding reconstructions of their faces (bottom row) created from their research MRI scans. Credit: *The New England Journal of Medicine*.



Though identifying data typically are removed from medical image files before they are shared for research, a Mayo Clinic study finds that this may not be enough to protect patient privacy.

"At Mayo Clinic, we take <u>patient privacy</u> as a core value," says Christopher Schwarz, Ph.D., a Mayo Clinic researcher and computer scientist in the Center for Advanced Imaging Research, and the study's lead author. "We are studying potential gaps in deidentification as we seek ways to improve these techniques."

The study, described in a letter published in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, finds that it's possible to use commercial <u>facial recognition</u> <u>software</u> to identify people from brain MRI that includes imagery of the face, despite steps that researchers typically take to protect patient privacy. This is a potential issue for study participants who share brain imaging data. It is not related to <u>patient care</u>, and it is not limited or specific to studies at Mayo Clinic.

"This is only applicable if people can get access to the MRI scans in publicly available research databases. It is not related to medical care, where data is secured," Dr. Schwarz says.

The researchers add that this risk is only applicable to people whose imaging data has been released into the public domain through their participation in research studies, and typically before researchers can gain access to the data they are required to sign a data-use agreement in which they state they will not try to identify the participants.

Today's standard when sharing MRI scans for research is to remove identifiers such as name and identification number. But imagery of the face included in MRI remains accessible. Software programs to remove



or blur faces in MRIs have been available for many years, but they haven't been widely used because they can degrade researchers' ability to automatically measure brain structures from the images, according to Dr. Schwarz. Even when used, the software may not fully prevent reidentification of the patient.

To determine whether facial recognition software could identify people from an MRI, researchers recruited 84 volunteers who had an existing brain MRI from within the past three months and then took additional photographs. Researchers then created facial reconstruction images from each MRI and attempted to match these images to the photographs using publicly available facial recognition software.

For 70 of 84 participants, the correct MRI image was chosen as the software's No. 1 match for those participants' photographs, an 83% success rate. In 80 of 84 cases, the correct MRI image was among the top five possible matches for participants' photos, a 95% success rate.

"Our study's 83% match rate suggests that facial recognition presents a possible means to reidentify research participants from their cranial MRIs," according to the researchers. This could mean a breach of associated health information, including diagnoses, genetic data and results of other imaging.

Institutions typically only share imaging data with researchers who legally commit not to attempt to identify participants. Still, "We understand there are concerns about the negative impact of facial recognition technology on personal privacy," says Clifford Jack, M.D., a Mayo Clinic radiologist and senior author, who also is a member of National Academy of Medicine. Dr. Jack is the Alexander Family Professor of Alzheimer's Disease Research.

"Dr. Schwarz's work points out that these concerns include the



possibility of identifying individual research participants who have been guaranteed anonymity as a condition of their participation in medical research. This is an issue that the medical research community must be aware of and address."

The Mayo team plans to publish another manuscript detailing their novel potential solution and how it improves on existing privacy protection efforts.

"We are making good progress toward an initial solution," says Dr. Schwarz. "Making data private and keeping it private is an alwaysevolving field. The insights we gained in this study will help us in our work to keep patient data private and use it more effectively for research into diseases and potential new therapies."

"With advances in <u>digital technologies</u>, in this case facial recognition software, it's critical that we continue to revisit the promises that we've made to our patients, particularly promises related to the confidentiality of their medical data," says Richard Sharp, Ph.D., Lloyd A. and Barbara A. Amundson Professor of Biomedical Ethics and director of the Biomedical Ethics Research Program, who was involved in this research. "Much of our work in biomedical ethics focuses on protecting patients from unanticipated harms and this is an excellent illustration of the importance of that work."

**More information:** Christopher G. Schwarz et al. Identification of Anonymous MRI Research Participants with Face-Recognition Software, *New England Journal of Medicine* (2019). DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1908881

Provided by Mayo Clinic



Citation: Mayo Clinic studies patient privacy in MRI research (2019, October 24) retrieved 4 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-10-mayo-clinic-patient-privacy-mri.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.