

# After free lunch from drug firms, doctors increase prescriptions

February 18 2020, by James Dean

---



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Doctors prescribe more branded medications after marketing visits by the makers of those drugs, new research co-authored by a Cornell University economist confirms.

But while the 4% average monthly increase in sales of those drugs in the year following such marketing represents a substantial return on investment, it is well below what some previous studies have suggested, said Colleen Carey, assistant professor of policy analysis and management at Cornell.

Additionally, the study found that drug firms' "detailing" visits—a controversial practice involving in-kind payments to [doctors](#), commonly meals—does not lead them to prescribe higher-quality drugs, as industry advocates have argued.

"We took seriously the claim that there is educational value to these marketing encounters," Carey said. "We just don't find any evidence for it."

Carey is the author, with Ethan Lieber of the University of Notre Dame and Sarah Miller of the University of Michigan, of "Drug Firms' Payments and Physicians' Prescribing Behavior in Medicare Part D," released Feb. 17 as a working paper by National Bureau of Economic Research.

Leveraging new data and an improved study design, the paper is the first to track doctors' prescribing behavior before and after payments related to all drugs, not just a single drug or class of drugs. It also accounted for which doctors were already likely to prescribe these medications based on their patient mix, and therefore be targeted for detailing.

The analysis showed that doctors shared similar prescribing trends before any payments occurred. But in the month after receiving a [payment](#), doctors increased the number of patients taking the drug marketed to them.

"The prevalence of the practice implies that the financial impacts are

economically large," the researchers wrote.

The researchers estimated that for every additional dollar spent on detailing visits, drug firms could expect to reap \$2.64 in increased drug revenue over the next year—a 164% return on investment. Previous estimates were much higher, ranging from 200% to 1,700%, according to the study.

It's easy, Carey said, to see why detailing—now banned by some [academic medical centers](#)—is a good investment: The visits are cheap, and the drugs are expensive.

"You don't need a big behavior change for these visits to make economic sense for the drug firms," she said. "For a lot of these drugs, if you get the doctor to prescribe it once more over the next year, you would have broken even."

Overall, doctors' interactions with [drug](#) firm sales representatives increased firm revenues, but the study did not find that they improved prescribing quality.

"We do not find clear evidence that such payments are harmful to patients," the researchers concluded, "only that they do not seem to be obviously helpful."

**More information:** Colleen Carey et al. Drug Firms' Payments and Physicians' Prescribing Behavior in Medicare Part D. NBER Working Paper No. 26751  
Issued in February 2020

Provided by Cornell University

Citation: After free lunch from drug firms, doctors increase prescriptions (2020, February 18)  
retrieved 27 April 2024 from

<https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-02-free-lunch-drug-firms-doctors.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.