


Why Zika virus caused most harmful brain damage to Brazilian newborns

February 19 2020, by Tamara Bhandari



Dark purple spots in the images of mouse brains indicate dying neurons. The brain of a mouse infected with a strain of Zika virus from Brazil (right) is shrunken and has more dying cells compared with that of a mouse infected with a strain from French Polynesia (left). Researchers at Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis have found that the strain of Zika that circulated in Brazil during the microcephaly epidemic that began in 2015 was particularly damaging to the developing brain. Credit: Kevin Noguchi

Due to Zika virus, more than 1,600 babies were born in Brazil with microcephaly, or abnormally small heads, from September 2015 through April 2016. The epidemic took health professionals by surprise because the virus had been known since 1947 and was not linked to birth defects.

As scientists scrambled to figure out what was going on, one fact stood out: 83% of microcephaly cases came from northeastern Brazil, even though Zika infections were recorded nationwide.

Researchers from Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis since have learned that the strain of [virus](#) circulating in the northeastern Brazilian state of Paraíba in 2015 was particularly damaging to the [developing brain](#). Kevin Noguchi, Ph.D., an assistant professor of psychiatry and the study's senior author, spoke about the findings, which are available online in *The Journal of Neuroscience*.

How did you determine that the Paraíba strain was unusually harmful?

We studied two [strains](#) of Zika virus—one from an outbreak in French Polynesia in 2013 that was associated with a low risk of microcephaly, and another from Paraíba in 2015. We infected one group of newborn mouse pups with one strain and a second group with the other strain. The brains of newborn mice are at a similar stage of development to a second-trimester human fetus, when Zika virus causes considerable damage. Each strain led to about the same number of deaths, but the [brain](#) damage in the surviving mice was dramatically different. The mice infected with the French Polynesian strain seemed to successfully fight off the infection within about two weeks after infection, and we did not see any additional signs of damage after that. In contrast, we saw neurodegeneration in the mice infected with the Paraíba strain up to 30 days later, and they had smaller brains.

Is that why babies born in Paraíba were at high risk of microcephaly?

Maybe. It tells us that the strain from Paraíba was more capable of causing severe brain damage than the one from French Polynesia. It doesn't rule out other possibilities.

For instance, other environmental factors in Paraíba—such as dengue or other viruses that were circulating at the same time—could have affected Zika's ability to overwhelm the body's defenses and cause severe brain damage.

What is it about the Paraíba strain that made it so dangerous?

That's our next step. We have started a collaboration with Dr. Luis Martínez-Sobrido, Ph.D., and his colleagues at the University of Rochester. They found a mutation in the Paraíba strain that may affect its virulence, or ability to cause disease.

If the Paraíba strain is so harmful, why didn't it cause an epidemic of microcephaly the next year?

Everyone was bracing for another massive increase in microcephaly the next year, but it didn't happen. We also saw more limited increases of [microcephaly](#) in other parts of Latin America and the Caribbean, even as the virus spread into those areas. Nobody really knows why. It could be that [pregnant women](#) started wearing more insect repellent and putting screens on their windows. In Brazil, it could be that so many people got infected the first year that many pregnant women were immune the next year. It's also possible that the virus was just too virulent for its own

good. If you kill your host, you also kill the opportunity to get passed on to the next person. So it could be that Zika reached peak virulence in 2015 and then evolved to be less virulent over time. That's another thing we'd like to find out.

More information: Kevin K. Noguchi et al, Zika Virus Infection in the Developing Mouse Produces Dramatically Different Neuropathology Dependent on Viral Strain, *The Journal of Neuroscience* (2019). DOI: [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1376-19.2019](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1376-19.2019)

Provided by Washington University School of Medicine

Citation: Why Zika virus caused most harmful brain damage to Brazilian newborns (2020, February 19) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-02-zika-virus-brain-brazilian-newborns.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--