

History mustn't repeat itself with COVID-19

March 10 2020, by Howard Phillips



Cartoon by D.C. Boonzaier from De Burger of 16 October 1918. Author supplied

As the issue of repatriation of foreign nationals from China grabs the headlines in South Africa and elsewhere on the continent in the wake of the spread of COVID-19, there are some important lessons that can still be drawn from events 102 years ago in 1918 when an earlier epidemic,



of so-called **Spanish flu**, arrived in the country.

This was the <u>most devastating pandemic of modern times</u>, killing more than 50 million people around the world (or 3%-4% of the globe's population) in just over a year.

South Africa was one of the five worst-hit parts of the world. About 300,000 South Africans died within six weeks. That represented 6% of the entire population. After it had finally ebbed, a doctor reflected in the *South African Medical Record* in January 1919: "It has truly been an irreparable calamity which has fallen on South Africa."

Certainly the world is a very different place in 2020, not least in the speed of international travel compared to that in the steamship-era of 1918. Yet, the ways in which viruses behave and humans respond have not changed so much. That's why there are still important lessons to be learned from the catastrophe of 1918. This is particularly true when it comes to quarantining people infected with the virus, and their contacts.

The Spanish flu episode highlights some elementary mistakes made back then which must be avoided at all costs today to prevent another public health disaster.

Elementary mistakes

Towards the end of World War I, in September 1918, two troopships arrived in Cape Town from England carrying over 2,000 black South African Labour Corps soldiers. They were being repatriated after spending over a year behind the lines on the battlefields of France and Belgium where, as non-combatants (the South African government of the day did not allow black people to bear arms), they had provided ancillary support for the white soldiers in the frontline.



Their voyage included a coaling stopover in Freetown, Sierra Leone, where Spanish flu was already raging. Within days of their departure from there, cases of influenza began to appear aboard both ships. When the first of them docked in Table Bay, 13 of the soldiers were still laid up.

The corps' medical officer insisted that the influenza on board was similar to ordinary influenza. Nevertheless, as a precautionary measure, the state's local medical officer had the sick troops placed in isolation at 7 Military Hospital in Woodstock. The rest of the men were put under quarantine at a military camp at Rosebank. There they where all medically examined thrice in 72 hours for signs of influenza before they could be demobilized.

But these examinations were rather cursory. And three days later all were allowed to board trains for their homes across the country. It is clear that the enforcement of the quarantine at the camp was cursory too. A local journalist wrote in the *Cape Argus*, the Cape Town newspaper, on 9 October 1918 about how some of the impatient soldiers were seen on the "prowl in shoals about the Peninsula, notably in District Six."

Within a day of the soldiers having left the camp on trains for home, influenza cases began to appear in a host of sites. These ranged from the staff at the camp and 7 Military Hospital and members of the transport unit which had ferried the returned soldiers from the harbor to fishermen and stevedores working in the docks.

But by then the trains were well on their way, carrying the newly-discharged soldiers all over South Africa. Even before they disembarked, some had begun to show symptoms of influenza. From as remote a district as Tsolo in the deep rural Transkei, the local magistrate was soon reporting that, since the arrival of a batch of soldiers "sickness has become rife ... in village and country and people are being brought



in to local doctor by wagon and sledge loads." (Phillips, "Plague, Pox and Pandemics," p. 79)

Spanish flu had arrived, becoming more lethal by the day.

Inexorably infecting the entire country, <u>railway station</u> by railway station, it engulfed the whole of South Africa within weeks, during what contemporaries <u>called</u> "Black October." It had been "allowed to run everywhere at once, like spilt quicksilver," <u>fulminated</u> one magazine.

Lessons

One hundred and two years later South Africa's departments of defense and health should heed the lesson of 1918 about the need to ensure that precautionary measures are rigorously implemented to the letter. If not, by the end of this year the *Cape Times* may be echoing what it wrote in the midst of "Black October' on 15 October 1918, that the Department of Public Health had "lamentably failed in rising promptly and effectively to the emergency ... Instead of showing itself the provident and well-prepared authority that we have a right to expect ... it showed a lack of imagination and initiative that were wholly deplorable."

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: History mustn't repeat itself with COVID-19 (2020, March 10) retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-03-history-mustnt-covid-.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is



provided for information purposes only.