

Why we need the human touch in contact tracing for coronavirus

May 19 2020, by Roderick Bailey



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

The UK has launched its smartphone app to assist with contact tracing. The government is full of enthusiasm and the Isle of Wight is the guinea pig. But for all the fanfare and faith in its potential, is the right kind of tracing being done? And are we missing the human touch?



The idea behind the app is for those who download it to self-report symptoms of COVID-19 and receive alerts when the phones of users who may be infected come within transmission range.

If enough people use it, <u>claim supporters at the University of Oxford</u>, it can get the epidemic under control. They also assert that it offers a way of gathering and transferring data that is cheaper and faster than traditional, manual methods of <u>contact tracing</u>.

Those older methods typically involve <u>health officials</u> carrying out indepth questioning in person or over the phone, with subsequent follow-ups, to establish, monitor and control paths of human-to-human transmission. The process is certainly resource-heavy. It can also be very slow.

But arguing for the superiority of automated tracing for reasons of cost and speed risks ignoring the significant benefits of tracing carried out by people.

The problem with apps

Apps may improve the quality of data sharing and analysis but have little record of decisive application to contact tracing.

Common <u>obstacles</u> met by phone-based technologies rolled out to tackle Ebola in West Africa, for instance, included network gaps, software problems and unfamiliarity with smartphones. Self-reporting of symptoms, meanwhile, was considered <u>no substitute for professional</u>, <u>independent</u>, <u>in-person verification</u>.





Contact tracing was vital to American efforts to get typhus under control in Italy during WWII. Credit: CDC



By contrast, manual methods of contact tracing have a rich history of accomplishment.

They contributed significantly to the eradication of smallpox and the containment of SARS. They enhance responses to sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV and remain a critical tool for tackling Ebola.

Why manual tracing works

To understand this success, it is important to see that the benefits of manual tracing are not confined to finding people and recording their temperatures.

Of particular value is trained tracers' ability to assess symptoms, detect asymptomatic carriers, and flag other possibilities for compromised health and onward spread, which untrained eyes—and apps—may struggle to spot.

In 2014, after three cases of Ebola were diagnosed in Texas, manual tracing identified 179 contacts. Defined as anyone who had been in physical contact with an infected case, within three feet of one for 15 minutes, or in a potentially contaminated shared space, they were found to include eight children of school age, three non-English speakers, two people with existing and serious health conditions and one person assessed to be homeless.

Manual tracing also offers a way to build trust among at-risk populations, especially when local norms, values and concerns are catered for with respect and empathy.

This can be particularly helpful in times like these, when individuals and



communities in so many corners of the world are suspicious of state intentions and interventions, exposed to misinformation, or concerned about questions of confidentiality and the purposes to which data may be put.

During Allied military efforts to control a <u>major outbreak of typhus</u> in Naples during the second world war, teams of Italian-speaking US Army doctors joined up with local civilian nurses and priests to successfully trace dozens of cases.

We see further examples from <u>Ebola</u> to <u>tuberculosis</u> to <u>HIV</u> of vulnerable populations being more easily persuaded to comply with important control measures such as changing behaviour, sharing data and agreeing to isolation and treatment.

This can help to address, too, problems of stigma and segregation of people who are infected. Compassionate and connected contact tracers have also historically played important roles in soothing fears of positive diagnoses and supporting other aspects of mental health.

When Ebola was detected in Senegal, tracers' demonstrable interest in contacts' mental health and the provision of a hotline for psychological support helped foster public acceptance of containment measures.

No easy answers

Successful contact tracing is not easy. As the WHO <u>observed</u> at the height of West Africa's Ebola outbreak: "Persons who conduct contact tracing should have investigative skills to find and track all potential contacts, and the ability to analyse the evidence. They also need to be flexible and empathic with the cases, contacts and their families in order to build trust and good community relations."



Responses to past outbreaks tell us that tracing can indeed be timeconsuming and expensive. To be effective, it must also be deployed among a battery of coordinated actions including isolation, testing and the development of vaccines.

Easy answers to epidemics are rare. "You cannot sit at a desk and do it," as Brigadier General Leon A. Fox, a US Army medical officer tasked with halting typhus's spread across Europe, observed at the end of second world war. "It takes a lot of drive, push, fight and work."

Contact tracing remains a proven method of breaking chains of disease transmission, and, when capacity exists, ought to occupy a central role in bringing today's pandemic to a close. And it will be at its best if those who embrace tracing's potential appreciate its strengths as a process driven by humans.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why we need the human touch in contact tracing for coronavirus (2020, May 19) retrieved 26 June 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-05-human-contact-coronavirus.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.