

The science of masks is simple, and I'm one of 100 experts urging governors to require public mask-wearing

May 14 2020, by Jeremy Howard



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

I'm a <u>data scientist</u> at the University of San Francisco and teach courses online in machine learning for <u>fast.ai</u>. In late March, I decided to use



public mask-wearing as a case study to show my students how to combine and analyze diverse types of data and evidence.

Much to my surprise, I discovered that the evidence for wearing masks in public was very strong. It appeared that universal mask-wearing could be one of the most important tools in tackling the spread of COVID-19. Yet the people around me weren't wearing masks and health organizations in the U.S. weren't recommending their use.

I, along with 18 other experts from a variety of disciplines, conducted a review of the research on public mask-wearing as a tool to slow the spread SARS-CoV-2. We published a preprint of <u>our paper</u> on April 12 and it is now awaiting peer review at the <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences</u>.

Since then, there have been <u>many more</u> reviews that support maskwearing.

On May 14, I and 100 of the world's top academics released an <u>open</u> <u>letter</u> to all U.S. governors asking that "officials *require* cloth masks to be worn in all public places, such as stores, transportation systems, and public buildings."

Currently, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends that everyone wears a mask—as do the governments covering 90% of the world's population—but, so far, only 12 states in the U.S. require it. In the majority of the remaining states, the CDC recommendation has not been enough: Most people <u>do not currently</u> <u>wear masks</u>. However, things are changing fast. Every week more and more jurisdictions require mask use in public. As I write this, there are now <u>94 countries</u> that have made this move.

So what is this evidence that has led myself and so many scientists to



believe so strongly in masks?

The evidence

The research that first convinced me was a laser light-scattering experiment. Researchers from the National Institutes of Health used lasers to illuminate and count how many droplets of saliva were flung into the air by a person talking with and without a <u>face mask</u>. The paper was only recently published officially, but I saw a <u>YouTube video</u> showing the experiment in early March. The results are shockingly obvious in the video. When the researcher used a simple cloth face cover, nearly all the droplets were blocked.

This evidence is only relevant if COVID-19 is transmitted by droplets from a person's mouth. It is. There are many documented super-spreading cases connected with activities—like <u>singing in enclosed</u> <u>spaces</u> – that create a lot of droplets.

The light-scattering experiment cannot see "micro-droplets" that are smaller than 5 microns and could contain some viral particles. But experts don't think that these are <u>responsible for much COVID-19</u> <u>transmission</u>.

While just how much of a role these small particles play in transmission remains to be seen, recent research suggests that cloth masks are also effective at reducing the spread of these smaller particles. In a paper that has not yet been peer-reviewed, researchers found that micro-droplets fell out of the air within <u>1.5 meters of the person who was wearing a mask</u>, versus 5 meters for those not wearing masks. When combined with social distancing, this suggests that masks can effectively reduce transmission via micro-droplets.

Another recent study showed that unfitted surgical masks were 100%



<u>effective in blocking seasonal coronavirus</u> in droplets ejected during breathing.

If only people with symptoms infected others, then only people with symptoms would need to wear masks. But experts have shown that people without symptoms <u>pose a risk of infecting others</u>. In fact, <u>four recent studies show</u> that nearly half of patients are infected by people who do not themselves have symptoms.

This evidence seems, to me, clear and simple: COVID-19 is spread by droplets. We can see directly that a piece of cloth blocks those droplets and the virus those droplets contain. People without symptoms who don't even know they are sick are responsible for around half of the transmission of the virus.

We should all wear masks.

Against the tide

After going through all of this strong evidence in late March and early April, I wondered why mask-wearing was controversial amongst health organizations in the Western world. The U.S. and European CDCs did not recommend masks, and neither did nearly any western government except for Slovakia and Czechia, which both required masks in late March.

I think there were three key problems.

The first was that most researchers were looking at the wrong question—how well a mask protects the wearer from infection and not how well a mask prevents an infected person from spreading the virus. Masks function very differently as personal protective equipment (PPE) versus source control.



Masks are very good at blocking larger droplets and <u>not nearly as good</u> at blocking tiny particles. When a person expels droplets into the air, they <u>quickly evaporate</u> and shrink to become tiny airborne particles called droplet nuclei. These are <u>extremely hard to remove from the air</u>. However, in the moist atmosphere between a person's mouth and their mask, it takes nearly <u>a hundred times as long</u> for a droplet to evaporate and shrink into a droplet nuclei.

This means that nearly any kind of simple cloth mask is great for source control. The mask creates humidity, this humidity prevents virus-containing droplets from turning into droplet nuclei, and this allows the fabric of the mask to block the droplets.

Unfortunately, nearly all of the research that was available at the start of this pandemic focused on mask efficacy as PPE. This measure is very important for protecting health care workers, but does not capture their value as source control. On Feb. 29, the U.S. <u>surgeon general tweeted</u> that masks "are NOT effective in preventing general public from catching #Coronavirus." This missed the key point: They are extremely effective at preventing its spread, as our review of the literature showed.

The second problem was that most medical researchers are used to judging interventions on the basis of <u>randomized controlled trials</u>. These are the foundation of evidence based medicine. However, it is impossible and unethical to test mask-wearing, hand-washing or social distancing during a pandemic.

Experts like Trisha Greenhalgh, the author of the best-selling textbook "How to Read a Paper: The Basics of Evidence Based Healthcare," are <u>now asking</u>, "Is COVID-19 evidence-based medicine's nemesis?" She and others <u>are suggesting</u> that when a simple experiment finds evidence to support an intervention and that intervention has a limited downside, policymakers should act before a randomized trial is done.



The third problem is that there is a shortage of medical masks around the world. Many policymakers were concerned that recommending face coverings for the public would lead to people hoarding medical masks. This led to seemingly contradictory guidance where the CDC said there was no reason for the public to wear masks but that masks needed to be saved for medical workers. The CDC has now clarified its stance and recommends the public use of homemade masks while saving higher-grade masks for medical professionals.

Results of mask-wearing

There are <u>numerous studies</u> that suggest if 80% of people wear a mask in public, then COVID-19 transmission could be halted. Until a vaccine or a cure for COVID-19 is discovered, cloth face masks might be the most important tool we currently have to fight the pandemic.

Given all of the laboratory and epidemiological evidence, the low cost of wearing <u>masks</u>—which can be made at home with no tools—and the potential to slow COVID-19 transmission with widescale use, policymakers should ensure that everyone wears a mask in public.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The science of masks is simple, and I'm one of 100 experts urging governors to require public mask-wearing (2020, May 14) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-05-science-masks-simple-im-experts.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is



provided for information purposes only.