

Advancing research and practice into 'normal' labor and birth

July 29 2020



On July 29 2020, a Special Collection of articles addressing current norms and practice in childbirth and probing how childbirth can be a positive and transformative life event for women publishes in the open-access journal PLOS ONE. The seven Research Articles stem from a four-year European COST Birth Action that brought together more than 120 scientists, practitioners, activists and policymakers from 34 countries. Credit: Isaac Quesada on Unsplash. Image licensed under CC0. COST Logo credit: COST



On July 29 2020, a Special Collection of articles addressing current norms and practice in childbirth and probing how childbirth can be a positive and transformative life event for women publishes in the openaccess journal *PLOS ONE*. The seven Research Articles stem from a four-year European COST Birth Action that brought together more than 120 scientists, practitioners, activists and policymakers from 34 countries.

There are hundreds of thousands of published studies in the area of pregnancy, labor and birth every year. However, the vast majority of these are focused on pathologies and the interventions designed to prevent them. In 2014, the EU funded the COST Birth Action with the goal of advancing research in intrapartum care—the period of care that spans the onset of labor to the delivery of the placenta. 'The Series Editor, Dr. Lucy Frith, says: 'The intention of this Collection is to summarize and synthesize some of the research in this area and contribute to growing the evidence base in this critical, but relatively neglected, field of physiological labor and birth.'

In an accompanying Overview article, Soo Downe, Balaam, Calleja Agius and Frith describe how the Action aimed to look at childbirth from the concept of salutogenesis. In this context, childbirth is more than just a means of getting a baby out of a mother's body, but is a space for positive transformation of mother and baby neurohormonally, psychologically, physically and emotionally. This kind of thinking can be reflected in a recent United Nations shift from a focus on survival along towards thriving and transformation for women, girls and adolescents. Most experts agree that there is an imbalance in maternity care around the world such that interventions are "too little, too late" for many marginalized, poor and rural women and babies, and "too much, too soon" for many urban and wealthier women and neonates. Some of the Action's research focused on balancing these interventions.



The Action chair Soo Downe says: 'The papers in this Series are a small selection of the work done (and still underway) by the team. We hope that others will also be inspired to contribute to the growing body of knowledge in this area over the decades to come.'

In one article, led by Ibone Olza of University of Alcalá, Spain, researchers examined the role of neurohormonal processes—in particular, oxytocin—in the physiological aspects of labor and birth as well as how these hormones shape the subjective psychological experience of birth. The study, which integrated the results of two previous systematic reviews, highlighted the importance of oxytocin in influencing maternal behavior and feelings in connection with birth. They also emphasized the crucial role of one-on-one support during labor and birth, and the fact that this support has a physiological influence.

In another paper, Maria Healy of Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland, and colleagues reviewed current literature on how midwives facilitate the second stage of labor. The contributions of midwifery could be categorized into four main themes—birthing positions, non-pharmacological pain relief, pushing techniques, and optimizing perineal outcomes. However, the review also found a dearth of existing research on midwifes' practice.

In a third piece, Patrick Moran, of the University of Dublin, Ireland, and colleagues studied the long-term implications of reducing caesarean section rates among first-time mothers, and of improving rates of vaginal births after caesarean section (VBAC). The researchers modeled the economic effects of changing these rates among women aged 18 to 44 years in two public health systems in Europe. They found that a five-percentage-point change in caesarean section and VBAC rates would save €1.1 million a year in Ireland and €9.8 million a year in England and Wales.



These papers, and the others included in the Special Collection, are important not only because of their new scientific insights, but because they illustrate the new kinds of questions that can be asked when people from different disciplines and perspectives collaborate, Downe, Balaam, Calleja Agius and Frith write in the Overview. The manuscripts additionally highlight many areas that still need significant additional research.

More information: Ibone Olza et al, Birth as a neuro-psycho-social event: An integrative model of maternal experiences and their relation to neurohormonal events during childbirth, *PLOS ONE* (2020). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0230992

Maria Healy et al. How do midwives facilitate women to give birth during physiological second stage of labour? A systematic review, *PLOS ONE* (2020). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0226502

Patrick S. Moran et al. Economic implications of reducing caesarean section rates – Analysis of two health systems, *PLOS ONE* (2020). <u>DOI:</u> 10.1371/journal.pone.0228309

Provided by Public Library of Science

Citation: Advancing research and practice into 'normal' labor and birth (2020, July 29) retrieved 27 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-07-advancing-labor-birth.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.