

More than one cognition: A call for change in the field of comparative psychology

July 14 2020



Reviewing 40 years of research, a new paper challenges hypotheses and calls for a more biocentric understanding of cognitive evolution. Credit: Clockwise from top left: Simone Pika, Juliane Braeuer, and Natalie Uomini.



What makes a species "smart" and how do strategies for processing information evolve? What goes on in the minds of non-human animals and which cognitive skills can we claim as hallmarks of our species? These are some of the questions addressed by the field of comparative psychology, but a recent review in the *Journal of Intelligence* joins a growing body of literature that argues that studies of cognition are hampered by anthropocentrism and missing the bigger picture of cognitive evolution.

Based on 40 years of scientific literature and <u>case studies</u> of three <u>non-human animals</u>, the current paper identifies two main problems hindering research in comparative psychology.

First of which is the assumption that <u>human cognition</u> is the standard by which animal cognition should be measured. Human cognition is generally believed to be the most flexible, adaptable form of intelligence, with the abilities of other <u>species</u> evaluated in accordance to the extent they match human <u>cognitive</u> skills. Such an approach tends to overrate human-like cognitive skills and may overlook cognitive skills that play only a small part, or no part at all, in human psychology.

"This approach, whether implicit or explicit, can only produce a restrictive, anthropocentric view of cognitive evolution that ignores the incredible diversity of cognitive skills present in the world," says Juliane Bräuer, leader of the DogLab at the Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History. Instead, research into the evolution of cognition should take a biocentric approach, considering each species investigated in its own right.

"Applying Darwinian thinking to comparative psychology and removing the 'benchmark' of human intelligence allows us to reveal the evolutionary, developmental and environmental conditions that foster the growth of certain unique abilities and the convergence of skills shared



among a species," adds Natalie Uomini, the main co-author of the paper.

To further address this anthropocentric view, the authors also argue for increased focus on cognitive abilities in which animals outperform humans and discuss cases in which various species demonstrate betterthan-human abilities in delayed gratification, navigation, communication, pattern recognition and statistical reasoning.

The second problem addressed is the assumption that cognition evolves as a package of skills similar to those apparent in humans, skills which taken together constitute 'one cognition.' The authors survey various major hypotheses from psychology, including Social Intelligence Hypothesis, Domestication Hypothesis and Cooperative Breeding Hypothesis, and argue that while each has evidence to support its claims, none account for the whole picture of cognition.

Instead of a cluster of linked skills originating from a single evolutionary pressure, the paper provides a framework for understanding cognitive arrays as the result of species-typical adaptations to the entire ecological and social environment.

"If we want to account for the fascinating variety of animal minds, comparative scientists should focus on skills that are ecologically relevant for a given species," say Bräuer and Uomini.

The paper discusses three distantly related species—chimpanzees, dogs and New Caledonian crows—that are highly sophisticated in one cognitive domain yet perform poorly in others generally believed to be linked.

The paper also lays out recommendations to make future experiments in comparative psychology ecologically relevant to the target species, including differentiating tasks for each species and accounting for



diverse senses of perception, such as smell in the case of dogs.

In Germany, where the authors of the paper are based, comparative <u>psychology</u> is a relatively unknown field. The authors hope to stimulate interest and growth in the subject with future research dedicated to the study of each species' cognitive skills for their own sake, leading to a more relevant and holistic perspective on animals' <u>cognitive skills</u> and the recognition that there is not only "one cognition."

More information: Juliane Bräuer et al, Old and New Approaches to Animal Cognition: There Is Not "One Cognition", *Journal of Intelligence* (2020). DOI: 10.3390/jintelligence8030028

Provided by Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History

Citation: More than one cognition: A call for change in the field of comparative psychology (2020, July 14) retrieved 8 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-07-cognition-field-psychology.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.