

Comparing COVID-19 to past world war efforts is premature—and presumptuous

July 13 2020, by Arne Kislenko



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

The collective effort to fight the coronavirus pandemic has been called the defining moment of the 21st century, or <u>this generation's Second</u> <u>World War</u>.



There may be some truth to these analogies, but it's premature—and even presumptuous—to put the present into a historic context.

Pandemics have always shaped human history. Starting in the year 541, <u>the Plague of Justinian</u> killed 50 million people —possibly half the world's population —in just a few years. In the mid-<u>14th century, the Black Death</u> claimed approximately 200 million lives with massive political, social and economic impacts.

Plagues resurfaced dozens of times over the next 300 years. Smallpox haunted Europe and Asia for centuries and <u>then went with colonizers to</u> <u>the New World</u>, <u>wiping out Indigenous populations</u>.

Just over a century ago, influenza claimed between 50 and 100 million lives—roughly five percent of the population—while the world fought the Great War. It's debatable how much the <u>pandemic</u> affected the war, but there's little doubt the war shaped the flu by putting millions in close proximity and providing the means for quick global transmission.

Flu claimed as many as the Great War

Just like today, Canada was not spared. About 55,000 Canadians died in the 1918-19 flu, nearly the same <u>as the losses in what became known as the First World War</u>.

Montréal and Toronto were particularly hard hit. Schools, businesses and public places closed. Debates raged about the efficacy of wearing masks. People practiced <u>social distancing</u>, while physicians urged quarantine. Eaton's and other stores <u>advertised cure-alls</u>. When the worst passed, there were phased reopenings. A federal department of health was created. The economy rebounded.

We have learned many lessons from 1918—about basic sanitation,



quarantine, drugs, immunizations and more. But we still have much to learn.

COVID-19 has taken an enormous toll. With 12 million confirmed cases and more than 550,000 dead, it could remain a serious global threat for years, maybe decades.

Fears of a virulent "second wave" are acute, especially with the first wave still wreaking havoc. The economic costs might prove incalculable. Political and social instabilities are rising, <u>even threatening some regimes</u>

A limited analogy

But while the pandemic might seem like a "war," there are serious limitations to the analogy.

Leaders invoke comparisons to bolster their images: <u>likening themselves</u> to <u>Winston Churchill</u> or Franklin D. Roosevelt, even if they don't fully understand what either did in response to crisis.

Curiously, some have talked about COVID-19 having <u>the same impact</u> on the economy as a world war when in fact <u>the Second World War</u> required total production, not the paring down to an essential economy that has happened during the coronavirus pandemic.

COVID-19 is also not bombing cities. It does not have a <u>political</u> <u>ideology</u>. It does not harbor <u>irredentist claims</u>, or seek to "right" historical "wrongs." It is not exterminating millions in concentration camps.

Although disconcerting, business closures and social distancing are not akin to living under enemy occupation. Aside from front-line workers,



most of us have endured inconveniences, not sacrifices. Soldiers are not dying in trenches or on the beaches. Ordering from Amazon and binge-watching Netflix cannot be compared to Stalingrad, Iwo Jima or <u>Verdun</u>, let alone Auschwitz.

Building unity

Likening the pandemic to war, however, can convey a sense of urgency to those who don't understand the consequences of inaction. It can push reluctant leaders to put public health ahead of politics. It can build a sense of collective responsibility and unity. As part of our collective memory and identity, wars can represent inspirational virtues. We might aspire to the fortitude of those who persevered through two world wars and the Great Depression.

But outright comparisons to the suffering and sacrifice of millions in vastly different contexts is disrespectful and doesn't help the current fight against COVID-19. What we are living through now is important, but it's not war.

It will be a long time before we might consider anything about COVID-19 history, but historical perspective can help us better understand this pandemic —and potentially better manage it. Understanding the magnitude of wars would help too.

German philosopher <u>Friedrich Hegel famously said</u>: "We learn from history that we do not learn from history." Thinking in historical terms might help us better understand ourselves: what we have endured and what we need to do, together, in future crises. In the case of this current crisis, let's hope Hegel was wrong.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Comparing COVID-19 to past world war efforts is premature—and presumptuous (2020, July 13) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-07-covid-world-war-efforts-prematureand.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.