

Coronavirus misinformation is a global issue, but which myth you fall for likely depends on where you live

August 12 2020, by Jason Weismueller, Jacob Shapiro, Jan Oledan, Paul Harrigan

Google Fact Check Tools

Explorer

Markup Tool

APIs

Fact Check Explorer

"Donald Trump"



English



Claim by Donald Trump:

"The Democrats don't want to do anything having to do with protecting people from eviction."

FactCheck.org rating: False

[FactChecking Trump's Weekend Press Briefings](#)

1 day ago

Donald Trump

Democratic Party

FactCheck.org



In August 2020, U.S. President Donald Trump vowed to "terminate" Social Security.

Snopes.com rating: Mixture

[Did Trump Vow to 'Terminate' Social Security?](#)

1 day ago

Donald Trump

Social Security

President of the United States

Google's Fact Check Explorer database is connected with a range of fact-checkers, most of which are part of the Poynter Institute's International Fact-Checking Network. Screenshot

In February, major social media platforms attended a [meeting hosted by](#)

[the World Health Organization](#) to address coronavirus misinformation. The aim was to catalyze the fight against what the United Nations has called an "[infodemic](#)."

Usually, [misinformation](#) is focused on specific regions and topics. But COVID-19 is different. For what seems like the first time, both misinformation and fact-checking behaviors are coordinated around a common set of narratives the world over.

In our [research](#), we identified the key trends in both [coronavirus](#) misinformation and fact-checking efforts. Using Google's [Fact Check Explorer computing interface](#) we tracked fact-check posts from January to July—with the first checks appearing as early as January 22.

A uniform rate of growth

Our research found the volume of fact-checks on coronavirus misinformation increased steadily in the early stages of the virus's spread (January and February) and then increased sharply in March and April—when the virus [started to spread globally](#).

Interestingly, we found the same pattern of gradual and then sudden increase even after dividing fact-checks into Spanish, Hindi, Indonesian and Portuguese.

Thus, misinformation and subsequent fact-checking efforts trended in a similar way right across the globe. This is a unique feature of COVID-19.

According to our analysis, there has been no equivalent global trend for other issues such as elections, terrorism, police activity or immigration.

Different nations, different misconceptions

On March 16, the Empirical Studies of Conflict Project, in collaboration with Microsoft Research, [began cataloging COVID-19 misinformation](#).

It did this by collating news articles with reporting by a wide range of local fact-checking networks and global groups such as Agence France-Presse and NewsGuard.


We analyzed this data set to explore the evolution of specific COVID-19 narratives, with "[narrative](#)" referring to the type of story a piece of misinformation pushes.

For instance, one misinformation narrative concerns the "origin of the virus." This includes the false claim the virus jumped to humans as a result of [someone eating bat soup](#).

We found the most common narrative worldwide was related to "emergency responses." These stories reported false information about government or political responses to fighting the virus's outbreak.

This may be because, unlike narratives surrounding the "nature of the virus," it is easy to speculate on (and hard to prove) whether people in power have good or ill intent.

Notably, this was also the most common narrative in the US, with an early example being a [false rumor](#) the New York Police Department would immediately lock down New York City.



This chart shows the proportion of total misinformation narratives on COVID-19 by the top ten countries between January and July, 2020.

What's more, a major motivation for spreading misinformation on social media is politics. The US is a [polarized political environment](#), so this might help explain the trend towards political misinformation.

We also found China has more misinformation narratives than any other country. This may be because China is the world's most populous country.

However, it's worth noting the [main fact-checking website](#) used by the Empirical Studies of Conflict Project for misinformation coming out of China is run by the Chinese Communist Party.

When fighting misinformation, it is important to have as wide a range of [independent and transparent](#) fact-checkers as possible. This reduces the potential for bias.

Hydroxychloroquine and other non-cures

Another set of misinformation narratives was focused on "false cures" or "false preventative measures." This was among the most common themes in both China and Australia.

One example was a [video](#) that went viral on social media suggesting hydroxychloroquine is an effective coronavirus treatment. This is despite experts stating it is *not* a proven COVID-19 treatment, and can actually have harmful side effects.

Myths about the "nature of the virus" were also common. These referred to specific characteristics of the virus—such as that it can't spread on surfaces. We know this [isn't true](#).

Narratives reflect world events

Our analysis found different narratives peaked at different stages of the virus's spread.

Misinformation about the nature of the virus was prevalent during the outbreak's early stages, probably spurred by an initial lack of scientific research regarding the nature of the virus.

In contrast, theories relating to emergency responses surfaced later and remain even now, as governments continue to [implement measures](#) to fight COVID-19's spread.

A wide variety of fact-checkers

We also identified greater diversity in websites fact-checking COVID-19 misinformation, compared to those investigating other topics.

Since January, only 25% of 6,000 fact-check posts or articles were published by the top five fact-checking websites (ranked by number of posts). In comparison, 68% of 3,000 climate change fact-checks were published by the top five websites.

It seems resources previously devoted to a wide range of topics are now homing in on coronavirus misinformation. Nonetheless, it's impossible to know the total volume of this content online.

For now, the best defense is for governments and online platforms to increase awareness about false claims and build on the robust fact-checking infrastructures at our disposal.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Coronavirus misinformation is a global issue, but which myth you fall for likely depends on where you live (2020, August 12) retrieved 12 May 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-08-coronavirus-misinformation-global-issue-myth.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.