

PPE unmasked: why health-care workers in Australia are inadequately protected against coronavirus

August 4 2020, by Alicia Dennis and Jane L Whitelaw



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

In Victoria, more than 1,100 health-care workers have now been infected with SARS-CoV-2, the coronavirus that causes COVID-19. Some 11% of active cases are workers in the health-care sector.



Health-care workers are reported to be among those <u>fighting for life</u> in Victorian intensive care units.

While we don't know what proportion of the Victorian health-care workers currently infected with COVID-19 acquired it at work rather than in the community, it's almost certain a portion of these infections were contracted in the workplace.

Early experience from China found the proportion of health-care workers who contract COVID-19 can be <u>up to 29%</u> in settings with inadequate personal protective equipment, or PPE.

Lessons from China also show workplace transmission of SARS-CoV-2 can be <u>reduced to negligible numbers</u> with sufficient supply, and <u>correct use</u> of, airborne precaution PPE.

Right now, Australia is sitting somewhere in the middle. National guidance needs to be urgently updated to reflect safest practice and acknowledge what we're learning about the airborne spread of the virus.

What is PPE?

PPE is a <u>crucial part</u> of controlling exposure to hazards <u>in all workplaces</u>.

It includes items such as masks, respirators, face shields, gowns and gloves.

PPE is categorised into three tiers, corresponding to the type of hazard.

Level 1: standard and contact precaution PPE

This PPE limits exposure to standard contact hazards. Examples include



face coverings and administrative controls such as hand hygiene, cough etiquette and physical distancing.

Level 2: droplet precaution PPE

This PPE prevents exposure to contact and droplet hazards. Examples include surgical masks, eye shields or goggles, long-sleeved gowns, and gloves.

Level 3: airborne precaution PPE

This PPE aims to prevent exposure to contact, droplet and airborne hazards. It <u>includes</u> N95/P2 respirators or powered air-purifying respirators <u>with a P2 filter</u>, eye shields or goggles, fluid-resistant gowns, double gloves, disposable head and neck wear, and protective footwear.

Current Australian guidelines

The <u>national guidance</u> on the use of PPE in hospitals during the COVID-19 outbreak has been written by the Infection Control Expert Group and endorsed by the Australian Health Protection Principal Committee.

The guidance doesn't recommend universal airborne precaution PPE for health-care workers dealing with patients suspected or confirmed to have COVID-19. It only recommends level 3 protection for highly specialised procedures such as intubating a patient.

A preprint in the <u>Medical Journal of Australia</u> has criticised the current guidance, noting it's not aligned with increasing scientific evidence regarding airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and is therefore inadequate to protect health-care workers.



Inadequate national guidance has led to an <u>inconsistent and non-standardised approach</u> to airborne precaution PPE across all health-care settings.

In the absence of strong national safety guidance, some hospitals and jurisdictions are making <u>independent improved safety recommendations</u> to their staff.

Why we need level 3

Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 occurs by direct contact with droplets and contaminated surfaces—but <u>emerging data</u> suggests it can also be spread by the airborne route.

An analysis of health-care worker deaths in the United Kingdom found none among wearers of level 3 PPE, suggesting airborne precaution PPE was protective.

Importantly, surgical masks are primarily designed to protect the environment from the wearer. They're <u>not designed</u> to protect the wearer from respiratory pathogens.

A recent review found N95 respirators offered <u>significantly better</u> <u>protection</u> against viruses including COVID-19 than surgical face masks, while one study found N95 respirators provided <u>8-12 times</u> more protection than <u>surgical masks</u> against small viral particles.

In Australia, N95 is synonymous with P2 respiratory protection and refers to the filtration efficiency (so N95 means 95% of particles are filtered). But it's the total inward leakage—what goes through and around the facemask—that's the critical factor in determining the level of protection the wearer achieves.



To ensure total inward leakage is minimised, respiratory masks used under level 3 PPE <u>must meet</u> certain <u>standards</u>, including fit testing and the training of wearers in their use.

We need immediate action

SARS-CoV-2 is a <u>highly contagious virus</u> with the potential to cause significant ill health and death. In health-care settings, it should be classified as a lethal <u>biohazard</u> and managed accordingly.

The safest approach is to consider all people with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 in hospital, being transported to hospital or being tested for COVID-19 as being able to spread the virus via the airborne route. As such, the use of airborne precaution PPE with a correctly fitted N95/P2 respirator is essential.

There's also an urgent need for a national registry of health-care worker infections, containing data about the category of health-care worker, where the infection was acquired, severity of disease, hospitalisation, intensive care and death numbers.

This will give us a better understanding of the scope and specifics of the problem, and inform policy and prevention strategies.

Finally, adequate supply of airborne precaution PPE must be available throughout Australia to protect <u>health-care workers</u> from COVID-19.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation



Citation: PPE unmasked: why health-care workers in Australia are inadequately protected against coronavirus (2020, August 4) retrieved 6 May 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-08-ppe-unmasked-health-care-workers-australia.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.