

Putting money on two COVID-19 vaccines: Why Australia's latest vaccine deal makes sense

September 8 2020, by Kylie Quinn



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Yesterday, <u>Prime Minister Scott Morrison</u> announced Australians will get access to 84.8 million COVID-19 vaccine doses throughout 2021, provided current trials prove the vaccines in question are safe and effective.



A series of deals worth A\$1.7 billion between the <u>federal government</u> and two major pharmaceutical companies would see the local production and supply of two vaccines, developed by the University of Oxford and the University of Queensland, respectively.

So what's in the deals, what are the vaccines, and are we picking the best of the bunch for a fair price?

What's the deal?

The current and previous deals represent progress in negotiations between the Australian government, UK/Sweden-based pharmaceutical company AstraZeneca, and Melbourne-based biotechnology company CSL. The ultimate aim is a formal agreement to manufacture the two vaccines in Australia.

Previously, the government signed a <u>letter of intent</u> with AstraZeneca, which is working with University of Oxford researchers to test their AZD1222 vaccine.

But AstraZeneca needed a manufacturer in Australia to make the vaccine locally. The biggest local vaccine manufacturer is CSL, which is also working with the University of Queensland to test its V451 vaccine.

The <u>latest developments</u> include two "<u>heads of agreement</u>"—non-binding documents that outline the basic terms of a tentative deal:

- CSL and AstraZeneca agreed CSL will produce and supply 30 million doses of AZD1222, from early 2021
- CSL and the government agreed CSL will produce and supply 51 million doses of V451, from mid-2021.

The government and CSL also entered a <u>funding deed</u> worth up to A\$1.7



billion, under which CSL will prepare to manufacture AZD1222 and one other COVID-19 vaccine (likely V451).

Meanwhile, the government and AstraZeneca have a separate agreement to supply 3.8 million doses produced overseas in early 2021 for vulnerable people and health-care workers.

The final formal agreement remains contingent on whether the vaccines protect against COVID-19 in <u>clinical trials</u> currently underway.

A recap of the vaccines

Oxford and AstraZeneca have what's called a viral vector vaccine. Researchers take a fairly harmless virus (in this case an <u>adenovirus from</u> <u>chimpanzees</u>) and modify it to produce a target (here they've used the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2, the coronavirus that causes COVID-19).

A viral vector looks like a virus to our immune system, so the idea is it can train our body to mount a strong response against SARS-CoV-2. But the viral vector can't cause disease.

The University of Queensland and CSL have a protein subunit vaccine, which also uses the spike protein as a target. Unfortunately, spike protein is notorious for changing its shape, which makes it harder for our immune system to recognize.

So the University of Queensland has developed a <u>molecular clamp</u> to hold the spike protein in the correct shape. The clamped spike is then mixed with an adjuvant, a compound that stimulates an immune response. The adjuvant here is MF59, used in some <u>influenza vaccines</u> <u>for older people</u>.

AstraZeneca has started <u>vaccinating volunteers</u> as part of phase 3 clinical



trials in the United States, while CSL and the University of Queensland are <u>vaccinating volunteers</u> for their phase 1 clinical trial in Australia.



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Two promising candidates

Until a vaccine is shown to work in a phase 3 clinical trial, it's educated guesswork as to which will be effective.

Selecting two very different types of vaccines is a good strategy though. Each has different pros and cons, and we'll have a better chance of ending up with an effective vaccine, than, say, if we were banking on two similar candidates.



The Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine can be made quickly and should generate immunity <u>after a single shot</u>. Only <u>one viral vector vaccine</u> has been approved before, for Ebola, so it's more experimental. But it's already well advanced through clinical trials.

The Queensland/CSL vaccine takes longer to make as manufacturing large amounts of protein can be difficult, and it will <u>require two shots</u>. But protein subunit vaccines are more of a known entity, routinely used to prevent <u>influenza</u> and <u>hepatitis B</u>.

Why so many doses?

Some 84.8 million doses may seem like a lot to vaccinate 25 million Australians. But it's reasonable for two reasons.

First, each person might need two doses of vaccine to generate optimal immunity. For the Queensland/CSL vaccine, the pledged 51 million doses will allow for that and more.

A total of 33.8 million doses of the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine would allow for each person to get one, <u>as intended</u> for this type of vaccine, with plenty to spare. But we should note <u>early clinical trial data</u> has suggested two doses are better than one.

Second, it's logistically challenging to get a <u>vaccine</u> from the manufacturer into a person's arm. Not every dose will get to where it needs to go safely and on time. So we'll need a few million extra doses, just in case.

Is the price reasonable?

There's a large range in pricing of potential COVID-19 vaccines. Some



bulk deals are as low as roughly A\$5.50 per dose (AstraZeneca) and other vaccines could be as high as around A\$100 per dose (Sinopharm).

Several factors contribute to this variation, including differing manufacturing costs and profit margins.

The current deals with CSL average A\$21 per dose, which is mid-range and reasonable considering the resources CSL will need to put towards reconfiguring their operations.

All in all, it's a fair deal.

What happens next?

All eyes will be on the phase 3 trial results for AZD1222, expected in October. If these are good, the government, AstraZeneca and CSL would need to finalize a formal agreement and production could proceed.

Even if AZD1222 is successful, the V451 clinical trials remain essential. V451 could potentially be more effective or better suited to specific groups, <u>especially older people</u>. It could also potentially be used to boost responses after AZD1222 vaccination. It's a crucial card to have up our sleeve.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Putting money on two COVID-19 vaccines: Why Australia's latest vaccine deal makes sense (2020, September 8) retrieved 22 May 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-09-money-covid-vaccines-australia-latest.html



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.