

'No Jab, No Pay' raises catch-up vaccination rates

October 6 2020



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

The national "No Jab, No Pay" policy has been associated with substantial catch-up vaccination activity in lower socio-economic status areas in Australia, according to research published today by the *Medical*



Journal of Australia.

Introduced on 1 January 2016, the policy extended the existing vaccination requirements for receiving federal family assistance payments by removing non-medical (conscientious objection) exemptions and tightening guidelines for medical exemption.

Researchers from the University of Sydney and the National Center for Immunization Research and Surveillance (NCIRS), analyzed data from the Australian Immunization Register on catch-up vaccination rates for:

- children aged five to less than seven years before (January 2013—December 2014; baseline) and during the first two years of "no jab, no pay" (December 2015—December 2017), and
- children aged seven to less than 10 years and young people aged 10 to less than 20 years ("No Jab, No Pay" period only).

They also examined catch-up vaccination rates for the second dose of measles—mumps—rubella <u>vaccine</u> (MMR2) in the latter two <u>age groups</u>, by Indigenous status and socio-<u>economic status</u>, and for the third dose of diphtheria—tetanus—<u>pertussis vaccine</u> (DTPa3) and the first dose of the measles-mumps-<u>rubella vaccine</u> (MMR1) in children aged five to less than seven years, before and after the introduction of 'No Jab, No Pay'.

Key findings

- The proportion of incompletely vaccinated children aged five to less than seven years who received catch-up DTPa3:
- was higher under "No Jab, No Pay" than during the baseline period (15.5% vs. 9.4%).
- howeverthey also found a slight reduction in MMR1 catch-up (13.6% vs. 12.9%).



Of 407,332 incompletely vaccinated adolescents aged 10 to less than 20 years:

• 71,502 individuals (17.6%) received catch-up MMR2 during the first two years of 'No Jab, No Pay'.

This increased overall coverage for this age group from 86.6% to 89.0%. MMR2 catch-up activity in this age group was greater in the lowest socioeconomic status areas than in the highest socio-economic status areas (29.1% vs. 7.6%), and also for Indigenous compared to non-Indigenous Australians (35.8% vs. 17.1%).

"Our findings suggest that, while monetary sanctions are effective in promoting catch-up vaccination, their impact varies with socio-economic disadvantage," concluded the authors, led by Dr. Frank Beard, NCIRS Associate Director, Surveillance, Coverage, Evaluation and Social Science, and Senior Lecturer at the University of Sydney.

"Moreover, the lack of change in MMR1 catch-up activity in children aged five to less than seven years suggests little impact on those who reject vaccination, and that expansion of age requirements was the more effective policy lever in this period." said Dr. Beard.

Co-author Professor Julie Leask, NCIRS Professorial Fellow and University of Sydney expert on vaccination attitudes and behavior said:

"Many factors contribute to incomplete vaccination; a comprehensive suite of measures, particularly for reducing barriers to access and incorporating systematic reminders, is therefore essential for improving coverage. Requirements alone are not enough. The full scope of consequences of strict vaccination requirements should be carefully examined by any country considering such measures. It may be possible to find a reasonable middle ground that increases catch-up while not



disadvantaging certain families."

More information: Brynley P Hull et al. "No jab, no pay": catch-up vaccination activity during its first two years, *Medical Journal of Australia* (2020). DOI: 10.5694/mja2.50780

Provided by University of Sydney

Citation: 'No Jab, No Pay' raises catch-up vaccination rates (2020, October 6) retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-10-jab-catch-up-vaccination.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.