

Are diagnostic imaging studies with positive conclusions or titles published faster?

November 13 2020

TABLE 2: Time Between Study Phases Based on Classification of Degree of Positivity of Conclusion and Title

Study Phase and Finding	Conclusion Positivity Category				Title Positivity Category				Total No. of Studies Assessed
	Negative	Neutral	Positive With Qualifier	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive With Qualifier	Positive	
Completion to publication									
Duration (mo), median (IQR)	25 (15–38)	23 (16–33)	19 (11–29)	18 (13–26)	30 (24–34)	19 (13–28)	NA	18 (11–26)	
No. (%) of studies	40 (8)	63 (12)	52 (10)	361 (70)	4 (1)	482 (93)	0	30 (6)	516
Completion to submission									
Duration (mo), median (IQR)	15 (10–29)	13 (7–21)	9 (4–19)	10 (5–17)	25 (15–27)	10 (5–18)	NA	13 (4–18)	
No. (%) of studies	30 (7)	51 (12)	44 (10)	311 (71)	3 (1)	408 (94)	0	25 (6)	436
Submission to publication									
Duration (mo), median (IQR)	7 (4–9)	6 (4–10)	6 (4–9)	7 (4–9)	7 (5–8)	7 (4–9)	NA	6 (3–8)	
No. (%) of studies	44 (7)	77 (12)	73 (11)	455 (70)	3 (1)	613 (94)	0	33 (5)	649

Note—IQR = interquartile range, NA = not applicable.

Note--IQR = interquartile range, NA = not applicable. Credit: American Roentgen Ray Society (ARRS), American Journal of Roentgenology (AJR)

According to an article in ARRS' *American Journal of Roentgenology* (AJR), positive conclusions—but not titles—were associated with a shorter time from study completion to publication, which may contribute to an overrepresentation of positive results in the imaging diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) literature.

"We included primary imaging DTA studies from systematic reviews published in 2015," explained first author Lee M. Treanor of Ottawa Hospital Civic Campus. Extracting both the conclusion and the title of

each study, positivity was independently classified in duplicate. The time from study completion to publication was also extracted and calculated. Hazard ratios were estimated using a multivariable Cox regression model to determine the strength of the associations, adjusting for potentially confounding variables.

Based up the researchers' MEDLINE search, 781 studies appeared eligible for inclusion. Excluding seven studies (three for not having an abstract, three for not being a primary DTA study, and one because it was a duplicate) yielded a total of 774 imaging DTA studies; however, time from study completion to publication could only be calculated for 516 studies.

The median time from study completion to publication was 18 months (interquartile range [IQR], 13-26 months) for the 413 studies with positive conclusions, compared with 23 months (IQR, 16-33 months) for the 63 studies with neutral conclusions and 25 months (IQR, 15-38 months) for the 40 studies with negative conclusions.

For studies with positive titles, the median time from study completion to publication was 18 months (IQR, 11-26 months), compared with 19 months (IQR, 13-28 months) for studies with neutral titles and 30 months (IQR, 24-34 months) for studies with negative titles.

"Of all included studies," Treanor et al. tallied, "39 (5%) had positive titles, 731 (94%) had neutral titles, and 4 (

Because an inflated perception of test performance could adversely influence clinical decision making and [patient care](#), the authors of this AJR article suggested bias reduction strategies "should undergo trials by both journal editors and researchers in the imaging DTA community."

More information: Lee M. Treanor et al, Reporting Bias in Imaging

Diagnostic Test Accuracy Studies: Are Studies With Positive Conclusions or Titles Submitted and Published Faster?, *American Journal of Roentgenology* (2020). [DOI: 10.2214/AJR.19.22744](https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.19.22744)

Provided by American Roentgen Ray Society

Citation: Are diagnostic imaging studies with positive conclusions or titles published faster? (2020, November 13) retrieved 19 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-11-diagnostic-imaging-positive-conclusions-titles.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.