


'Night owls' may be twice as likely as morning 'larks' to underperform at work

February 23 2021



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Night 'owls' may be twice as likely as morning 'larks' to underperform at work and to run a heightened risk of early retirement due to disability, finds research published online in the journal *Occupational & Environmental Medicine*.

Given the move to lengthen working life and delay pension eligibility, it might be worth factoring in a person's chronotype, suggest the researchers.

Morning chronotypes, or 'larks' tend to do better early in the morning, while evening chronotypes, or 'owls' do better in the evening. Chronotype is largely genetic, but [environmental factors](#), such as exposure to daylight, work schedules, and [family life](#) can also influence it.

Owls don't usually fall asleep early enough to get the recommended 7+ [hours of sleep](#) on standard working days, leading to sleep debt and catch-up sleep on non-work days, known as [social jet lag](#).

This mismatch has been linked to [health problems](#), while long term sleep deprivation is linked to poorer overall health and cognitive performance, potentially hampering productivity at work, say the researchers.

To explore this further and find out if chronotype might also be linked to early retirement on health grounds, the researchers drew on data from the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 Study (NFBC1966).

This is an ongoing general population-based study, originally consisting of 12,058 children (6169 boys and 5889 girls), born in northern Finland in 1966.

When the study participants were aged 46, they were quizzed about their working life and health, and they were questioned about their sleep

patterns to find out their natural chronotype.

Participants rated their own performance at work on a scale of 0-10, using a validated scoring system (Work Ability Score). Their data were linked to national registries for social security and pension payments.

Some 2672 men and 3159 women, all of whom were in work in 2012, and for whom full details were available, were included in the final analysis. They were monitored over the next 4 years to see who had stopped working and taken a disability pension.

During this period, 84 people received a new disability pension; 17 people died, 3 of whom had taken a disability pension.

The proportions of those who were larks, intermediate chronotype, and owls were, respectively: 46%, 44%, and 10% among the men, and 44%, 44%, and 12% among the women.

Compared with larks, owls had worse ratings for every variable related to sleep and health. Owls more often reported short sleep duration, insomnia, and high levels of social jet lag. And they were also more likely to be unmarried and out of work.

Around 1 in 4 of the men (28%) and women (24%) classified as owls were underperforming at work when they were 46, a significantly higher proportion than among larks or intermediate chronotypes.

The odds of underperformance were twice as high among the owls as they were among the larks in both sexes even after taking account of potentially influential factors, such as sleep duration and working hours.

During the 4-year monitoring period, underperformance was strongly linked to a heightened risk of taking a disability pension for both sexes,

with male owls three times as likely to take a disability [pension](#) as male larks, although the impact of chronotype was significantly weakened when sleep patterns and working times were taken into account.

This is an observational study, and as such, can't establish cause. And the number of disability pensions taken during the monitoring period was small. Nor was it possible to gauge the impact of earlier life chronotype on later life disability, caution the researchers.

Nevertheless, the findings are in line with those of previously published research, they point out.

"We suggest chronotype be taken into account in supporting [work performance], both in individual-level health promotion and organisational-level planning of [work schedules](#)," conclude the researchers.

And it's especially important that owls adopt a healthy lifestyle, get enough sleep and work hours that suit their chronotype, they add.

More information: Evening chronotype is associated with poor work ability and disability pensions at midlife: a Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 Study, *Occupational & Environmental Medicine*, [DOI: 10.1136/oemed-2020-107193](#)

Provided by British Medical Journal

Citation: 'Night owls' may be twice as likely as morning 'larks' to underperform at work (2021, February 23) retrieved 19 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-02-night-owls-morning-larks-underperform.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.