

# Death-friendly communities ease fear of aging and dying

March 30 2021, by Julia Brassolotto, Albert Banerjee and Sally Chivers

---



Credit: Kindel Media from Pexels

Death looms larger than usual during a global pandemic. An [age-friendly community](#) works to make sure people are connected, healthy and active throughout their lives, but it doesn't pay as much attention to the end of

life.

What might a [death](#)-friendly community ensure?

In today's context, the suggestion to become friendly with death may sound strange. But as scholars doing research [on age-friendly communities](#), we wonder what it would mean for a community to be friendly towards death, dying, grief and bereavement.

There's a lot we can learn from the palliative care movement: it considers death as [meaningful and dying as a stage of life to be valued, supported and lived](#). Welcoming mortality might actually help us live better lives and support communities—rather than relying on medical systems—to care for people at the end of their lives.

## **The medicalization of death**

Until the 1950s, most Canadians died in their homes. More recently, death has moved to [hospitals, hospices, long-term care homes or other health-care institutions](#).

The societal implications of this shift are profound: fewer people witness death. The dying process has become less familiar and more frightening because [we don't get a chance to be part of it](#), until we face our own.

## **Fear of death, of aging and social inclusion**

In western cultures, death is often associated with aging, and vice versa. And a fear of death contributes to a fear of aging. One study found that [psychology students with death-anxiety were less willing to work with older adults](#) in their practice. Another study found that [worries about death and aging led to ageism](#). In other words, [younger adults push older](#)

[adults away because they don't want to think about death.](#)

A clear example of ageism being borne out of a [fear of death](#) can be seen through COVID-19; the disease gained the nickname "[boomer remover](#)" because it seemed to link aging with death.

The World Health Organization's (WHO) [framework for age-friendly communities](#) includes "respect and social inclusion" as one of its eight focuses. The movement fights ageism via [educational efforts](#) and intergenerational activities.

Improving death-friendliness offers further opportunities to improve social inclusion. A death-friendly approach could lay the groundwork for people to stop fearing getting old or alienating those who have. Greater openness about mortality also creates more space for grief.

During COVID-19, it's become clearer than ever that grief is both personal and collective. It's especially relevant to [older adults](#) who outlive many of their peers and experience multiple losses.

### **The compassionate communities approach**

The [compassionate communities approach](#) came from the fields of palliative care and critical public health. It focuses on community development related to [end-of-life planning, bereavement support and improved understandings](#) about aging, dying, death, loss and care.

The age-friendly and compassionate communities initiatives share several goals, but they don't yet share practices. We think they should.

Originating with the [WHO's concept of healthy cities](#), the compassionate communities charter responds to criticisms that public health has fallen short in responding to death and loss. [The charter](#) makes

recommendations for addressing death and grief in schools, workplaces, trade unions, places of worship, hospices and nursing homes, museums, art galleries and municipal governments. It also accounts for diverse experiences of death and dying—for instance, for those who are unhoused, imprisoned, refugees or experiencing other forms of social marginalization.

The charter calls not only for efforts to raise awareness and improve planning, but also for accountability related to death and grief. It highlights the need to review and test a city's initiatives (for instance, review of local policy and planning, annual emergency services roundtable, public forums, art exhibits and more). Much like the age-friendly framework, the compassionate communities charter uses a [best practice framework, adaptable to any city](#).

There's a lot to like about the compassionate communities approach.

First, it comes from the community, rather than from medicine. It brings death back from the hospitals and into the public eye. It acknowledges that when one person dies, it affects a community. And it offers space and outlets for bereavement.

Second, the compassionate communities approach makes death a normal part of life whether by connecting school children with hospices, integrating end-of-life discussions into workplaces, providing bereavement supports or creating opportunities for creative expression about grief and mortality. This can demystify the dying process and lead to more productive conversations about death and grief.

Third, this approach acknowledges diverse settings and cultural contexts for responding to death. It doesn't tell us what death rituals or grief practices should be. Instead, it holds space for a variety of approaches and experiences.

## Age-friendly compassionate communities

We propose that age-friendly initiatives could converge with the work of compassionate communities in their efforts to make a community a good place to live, age and, ultimately, die. We envision death-friendly communities including some, or all, of the elements mentioned above. One of the benefits of death-friendly communities is that there isn't a one-size-fits-all model; they can vary across jurisdictions, allowing each community to imagine and create their own approach to death-friendliness.

Those who are working to build age-friendly communities should reflect on how people prepare for death in their cities: Where do people go to die? Where and how do people grieve? To what extent, and in which ways, does a community prepare for death and bereavement?

If age-friendly initiatives contend with mortality, anticipate diverse end-of-life needs, and seek to understand how communities can indeed become more death-friendly, they could make even more of a difference.

That's an idea worth exploring.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Death-friendly communities ease fear of aging and dying (2021, March 30) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-03-death-friendly-ease-aging-dying.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private

study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.