

Why we remember more by reading—especially print—than from audio or video

May 3 2021, by Naomi S. Baron



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

During the pandemic, many college professors abandoned assignments from printed textbooks and turned instead to [digital texts](#) or multimedia

coursework.

As a [professor of linguistics](#), I have been studying how electronic communication compares to traditional [print](#) when it comes to learning. Is comprehension the same whether a person reads a text onscreen or on paper? And are listening and viewing content as effective as reading the written word when covering the same material?

The answers to both questions are often "no," as I discuss in my book "[How We Read Now](#)," released in March 2021. The reasons relate to a variety of factors, including diminished concentration, an entertainment mindset and a tendency to multitask while consuming [digital content](#).

Print versus digital reading

When reading texts of several hundred words or more, learning is generally more successful when it's on paper than onscreen. A [cascade of research](#) confirms this finding.

The benefits of print particularly shine through when experimenters move from posing simple tasks—like identifying the main idea in a reading passage—to ones that require [mental abstraction](#)—such as drawing inferences from a text. Print reading also improves the likelihood of [recalling details](#)—like "What was the color of the actor's hair?"—and remembering [where in a story events occurred](#)—"Did the accident happen before or after the political coup?"

Studies show that both [grade school students](#) and [college students](#) assume they'll get higher scores on a comprehension test if they have done the reading digitally. And yet, they actually score higher when they have read the material in print before being tested.

Educators need to be aware that the method used for standardized testing

can affect results. Studies of [Norwegian tenth graders](#) and [U.S. third through eighth graders](#) report higher scores when standardized tests were administered using paper. In the U.S. study, the negative effects of digital testing were strongest among students with low reading achievement scores, English language learners and special education students.

[My own research](#) and [that of colleagues](#) approached the question differently. Rather than having students read and take a test, we asked how they perceived their overall learning when they used print or digital reading materials. Both high school and [college students](#) overwhelmingly judged reading on paper as better for concentration, learning and remembering than reading digitally.

The discrepancies between print and digital results are partly related to paper's physical properties. With paper, there is a literal laying on of hands, along with the visual geography of distinct pages. People often [link their memory](#) of what they've read to how far into the book it was or where it was on the page.

But equally important is mental perspective, and what [reading researchers](#) call a "[shallowing hypothesis](#)." According to this theory, people approach digital texts with a mindset suited to casual social media, and devote less mental effort than when they are reading print.

Podcasts and online video

Given increased use of [flipped classrooms](#)—where students listen to or view lecture content before coming to class—along with more publicly available podcasts and online [video](#) content, many school assignments that previously entailed reading have been replaced with listening or viewing. These substitutions have [accelerated](#) during the pandemic and move to virtual learning.

Surveying U.S. and Norwegian university faculty in 2019, University of Stavanger Professor Anne Mangen and I found that [32% of U.S. faculty](#) were now replacing texts with video materials, and 15% reported doing so with audio. The numbers were somewhat lower in Norway. But in both countries, 40% of respondents who had changed their course requirements over the past five to 10 years reported assigning less reading today.

A primary reason for the shift to audio and video is students refusing to do assigned reading. While the problem is [hardly new](#), a [2015 study](#) of more than 18,000 college seniors found only 21% usually completed all their assigned course reading.

Audio and video can feel more engaging than text, and so faculty increasingly resort to these technologies—say, assigning a [TED talk](#) instead of an [article](#) by the same person.

Maximizing mental focus

Psychologists have demonstrated that when adults [read news stories](#) or [transcripts of fiction](#), they remember more of the content than if they listen to identical pieces.

Researchers found [similar results with university students](#) reading an article versus listening to a podcast of the text. A [related study](#) confirms that students do more mind-wandering when listening to audio than when reading.

Results with younger students are similar, but with a twist. A [study in Cyprus](#) concluded that the relationship between listening and reading skills flips as children become more fluent readers. While second graders had better comprehension with listening, eighth graders showed better comprehension when reading.

Research on learning from video versus [text](#) echoes what we see with audio. For example, [researchers in Spain](#) found that fourth through sixth graders who read texts showed far more mental integration of the material than those watching videos. The authors suspect that students "read" the videos more superficially because they associate video with entertainment, not learning.

The collective research shows that digital media have common features and user practices that can constrain learning. These include diminished concentration, an entertainment mindset, a propensity to multitask, lack of a fixed physical reference point, reduced use of annotation and less frequent reviewing of what has been read, heard or viewed.

Digital texts, audio and video all have educational roles, especially when providing resources not available in print. However, for maximizing learning where mental focus and reflection are called for, educators—and parents—shouldn't assume all media are the same, even when they contain identical words.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why we remember more by reading—especially print—than from audio or video (2021, May 3) retrieved 20 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-05-readingespecially-printthan-audio-video.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--