

Yes, there's confusion about ATAGI's AstraZeneca advice—but it's in an extremely difficult position

July 26 2021, by Hassan Vally



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

One can totally understand the frustration around where the AstraZeneca vaccine fits in our vaccine rollout in Australia.

At a time when we're grappling with so much uncertainty, we need



unambiguous information from the federal government about who should have this vaccine.

Instead, it feels very much like we're swirling in a murky sea of information that is confusing and, at times, seems to be contradictory.

The confusion is compounded by the changing advice from ATAGI. ATAGI, the Australian Technical Advisory Group on Immunization, is the group of vaccine experts which advises the government.

There is no doubt that for many people, some of its language has been difficult to make sense of, including the use of vague terms like "preferred". As in, the Pfizer vaccine is the "preferred vaccine" for those under 60 years of age.

How exactly this should be interpreted by someone trying to make the important decision about whether to get the vaccine is unclear, and raises more questions than it answers.

The public commentary from a number of political leaders, <u>including the prime minister</u>, that ATAGI has been too conservative and too risk averse hasn't helped either, with the implication ATAGI cannot be fully trusted to provide sensible advice.

The reality is, ATAGI is in an extremely difficult position and is grappling with competing concerns, considerable uncertainty, and a constantly changing landscape.

What is ATAGI's role?

ATAGI can only give general advice to the government for the whole population.



Its task is to think about the whole population as if it were merged into a single person, or in the case of AstraZeneca, a series of people of different age ranges. It then has to formulate advice based on population-based averages of the benefits and risks of getting the AstraZeneca vaccine, which has a number of limitations.

The more I think about it, the more I sympathize with the immunization expert body ATAGI.

Stuck between a rock and a hard place, and now under the bus.

They were asked to make recommendations that extend far beyond the considerations of risks/benefits to the individual.

— Dr Vyom Sharma (ethnic mum) (@drvyom) <u>July 24, 2021</u>

It's important to understand context plays a key role in formulating this advice to the government. The risk of the blood clotting and bleeding condition, called thrombosis with thrombocytopenia, from the AstraZeneca vaccine is <u>slightly higher</u> for younger people.

This is only part of what's driven the advice for Pfizer to be the preferred vaccine for those under 60.

In fact, the risk of dying from this condition is incredibly rare whatever your age.

What has been the bigger driver of the advice is the fact you're less likely to develop severe disease from COVID if you're younger, which means the corresponding benefits of vaccination are much lower if you take a narrow view of the benefits of the vaccine being solely the prevention of severe disease.



How did ATAGI draw its conclusions on AstraZeneca?

ATAGI initially said Pfizer was the preferred vaccine for under-50s <u>in April</u>, and then changed this to under-60s <u>in June</u>.

There are several assumptions in ATAGI's advice which need to be understood.

Firstly, it calculated the risks and benefits of AstraZeneca across three scenarios—low, medium and high exposure risk. ATAGI has presented its advice assuming a low amount COVID circulating in the community, which has been the case until Sydney's latest outbreak.

A low amount of COVID in the community means there's a low chance of severe COVID, which is even smaller for younger people. This means there's less of a benefit of being vaccinated for younger people, which is what has driven the advice for the Pfizer vaccine to be preferred for younger people.

However, the problem with this low prevalence assumption is we're vaccinating to protect us not just right now, but also against the *future risk* of COVID, and future lockdowns, like the situation we're seeing in Sydney now.

Once you're in this situation, even if ATAGI changes its recommendations in response to more COVID circulating, which it did on Saturday, in some sense the horse has already bolted.

The situation in Sydney is serious and Delta is different. Benefits of AZ outweigh risks in Greater Sydney- the message is now clear. ALL adults must get any vaccine you can ASAP. The best



way out of lockdown and the only way to protect you and yours. https://t.co/F1ORVcoCS6

— AMA President (@amapresident) <u>July 24, 2021</u>

Another assumption implicit in ATAGI's advice that it <u>prefers</u> under-60s get Pfizer, is that Pfizer is available and you have the option to get it now.

However, given the limited supply of Pfizer vaccine, the decision to hold off on the AstraZeneca vaccine is not one to get Pfizer, it is one to hold off on getting vaccinated at all. This leaves you exposed and vulnerable to COVID. This is an important distinction to make, which of course will change as we get more Pfizer vaccine.

Another major limitation in the ATAGI <u>advice</u> is the panel, in dealing with population-level data, takes a very narrow view of the benefits of vaccination: the prevention of severe disease.

It doesn't take into account other benefits that may be relevant to many people. It doesn't take into account the prevention of long COVID; the benefits of being vaccinated allowing travel and other freedoms; and, most glaringly, the importance many people place on getting vaccinated to protect their loved ones and the community.

These may weigh heavily on individuals but aren't taken into account when you look at the risk-benefit calculation from a narrow perspective.

So what's the bottom line on AstraZeneca?

We must remember the AstraZeneca vaccine is a fantastic vaccine.

It's safe and effective, and two doses offer almost complete protection



against severe disease and death from COVID, <u>including the Delta</u> variant.

It does carry a small risk of the blood clotting and bleeding condition, but this risk is incredibly small. COVID is much more of a threat to your health than the vaccine, as we are seeing in NSW right now.

If you're under 60 years of age, the decision to have the AstraZeneca <u>vaccine</u> is one only you can make. But if you do make it, you should understand the benefits go beyond just preventing severe disease.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Yes, there's confusion about ATAGI's AstraZeneca advice—but it's in an extremely difficult position (2021, July 26) retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-07-atagi-astrazeneca-advicebut-extremely-difficult.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.