

D-dimer test still helpful to rule out pulmonary embolisms in hospitalized COVID-19 patients

October 26 2021



Human lung tissue with pulmonary embolism under a microscope. Credit: University of South Florida

A screening blood test originally validated in seriously ill patients



without COVID-19 is still clinically useful for ruling out pulmonary embolism in patients hospitalized with the coronavirus, a University of South Florida Health (USF Health) Morsani College of Medicine research team reports.

Principal investigator Asa Oxner, MD, and USF Health colleagues published a single-center, diagnostic study Oct. 8 in *JAMA Network Open* investigating how well D-dimer testing performed at excluding pulmonary <u>embolism</u> in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Doctors who suspect pulmonary embolisms routinely rely on widely available Ddimer screening to rule out the potentially life-threatening blood clotting disorder—most commonly in surgical patients immobilized for long periods and patients admitted to intensive care units.

D-dimer is a <u>simple blood test</u> that measures protein fragments of blood clots floating in the bloodstream. D-dimer levels, normally undetectable or detectable at very low levels, rise sharply when the body is breaking down the clots.

"Our study found that clinicians can feel confident interpreting the Ddimer levels the same in COVID patients as they do in every other patient; we don't need a special (different) value for COVID patients," said Dr. Oxner, associate professor and vice chair of USF Health Internal Medicine. "So, in hospitalized COVID patients, we can appropriately rule out a pulmonary embolism if d-dimer levels are low."

Pulmonary embolisms occur when blood clots that form in another part of the body (often the leg), travel through the bloodstream, and lodge in the blood vessels of the lung, decreasing blood flow and causing low oxygen levels.

Research indicates COVID-19 patients are three to 10 times more likely to develop pulmonary embolisms than other hospitalized patients, even



when they are not as seriously ill or immobilized, Dr. Oxner said. Scientists are still investigating why, but it appears the COVID-19 virus may create a cellular environment that promotes clotting by making the inside of blood vessels uneven, irritated, and prone to microtears.

A negative D-dimer test (one that rules out pulmonary embolism) can help patients avoid more expensive, invasive diagnostic tests, like a computed tomography pulmonary angiogram, or CTPA. "CPTA can be dangerous because the patient, who potentially has a blood clot, must lay immobile in the CT scanner for quite a while to capture (pulmonary artery) images. The test also requires injection with IV contrast, which carries a greater risk of kidney damage when you're very sick," Dr. Oxner said.

The USF Health researchers wondered if the increased risk for blood clotting in COVID-19 patients, and uncertainty of diverse D-dimer values found in earlier smaller studies, reduced the existing screening tool's ability to correctly rule out pulmonary embolism in COVID patients. The conventional thinking was that D-dimer levels would almost always be high in COVID-19 patients, therefore the test as originally validated (in non-COVID patients) would not be accurate at differentiating COVID patients without clots, Dr. Oxner noted.

The restrospective study looked at the records of 1,541 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 at Tampa General Hospital from Jan. 1, 2020, to Feb. 5, 2021. They compared plasma D-dimer concentrations with CTPA, the criterion for diagnosing pulmonary embolism, in 287 of those patients. All COVID-19 patients with CTPA evidence of pulmonary embolism had D-dimer levels of 0.05 μ g/mL or greater, as did the majority (91.2%) of patients without CTPA evidence of pulmonary embolism. (Concentrations of 0.05 μ g/mL and higher are considered positive for pulmonary embolism, while anything below that D-dimer level is negative.)



The researchers also analyzed whether changing the cutoff levels defining positive or negative D-dimer test results specifically for the COVID patient population might improve the test's performance. "We did not find that to be statistically significant; however, we may have been able to identify a different cutoff level if the study had more COVID patients," Dr. Oxner said.

Within the limitations of this single-center study, setting higher D-dimer thresholds was associated with improved specificity—but at "the cost of an increased false-negative rate that could be associated with an unacceptable patient safety risk," the study authors wrote. A falsenegative result means that the test does not detect a pulmonary embolism when the serious blood clotting problem is very likely present.

While clinicians must maintain heightened suspicion for pulmonary embolisms when evaluating symptoms in COVID-19 patients, the overall USF Health study results indicate that the currently available D-dimer test adequately screens for the likelihood of <u>pulmonary embolism</u> in hospitalized COVID patients, Dr. Oxner said. "The mechanisms of developing pulmonary embolisms may differ for COVID patients, but the resulting physiology is the same for all patients. The little fragments of (undissolved) <u>blood</u> clots are still detectable with D-dimer."

More information: Constantine N. Logothetis et al, D-Dimer Testing for the Exclusion of Pulmonary Embolism Among Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19, *JAMA Network Open* (2021). DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.28802

Provided by University of South Florida

Citation: D-dimer test still helpful to rule out pulmonary embolisms in hospitalized COVID-19



patients (2021, October 26) retrieved 17 July 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2021-10-d-dimer-pulmonary-embolisms-hospitalized-covid-.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.